## Ep 47: Blasphemy in the classroom – The Batley Grammar case

https://www.secularism.org.uk/podcast/2021/04/ep47

0:00:06.960,0:00:13.040

"Extremism takes root in minds which are not able to have the mental resilience

0:00:13.040,0:00:16.240 to deal with different and varying positions and views."

0:00:22.000,0:00:26.640

You're listening to Episode 47 of the National Secular Society podcast produced by Emma Park

0:00:26.640,0:00:32.000

(EP). Just before Easter a group of largely male

Muslim protesters assembled outside Batley Grammar

0:00:32.000,0:00:36.480

School in Yorkshire. They were protesting against

the use of an allegedly blasphemous and racist

0:00:36.480,0:00:40.640

cartoon of Muhammad, possibly from Charlie Hebdo.

that had been used by a teacher at the school

0:00:40.640,0:00:45.440

in a religious studies lesson. The Headmaster, Gary Kibble, rather than supporting the teacher

0:00:45.440,0:00:50.560

or even reserving judgment, immediately issued

an unequivocal apology. He described the use

0:00:50.560,0:00:55.440

of such material as totally unacceptable and suspended the teacher pending a formal inquiry.

0:00:56.160,0:01:00.560

Meanwhile the teacher himself has gone into hiding after receiving death threats from Islamic

0:01:00.560,0:01:06.160

extremists. His father said that he had been "thrown under a bus" by the school. The National

0:01:06.160,0:01:10.480

Secular Society was one of the first organisations

to draw attention to the Batley case.

0:01:10.480,0:01:14.000

Stephen Evans (SE), the Chief Executive Officer of

the NSS, wrote an open letter to the school urging

0:01:14.000,0:01:18.400

it not to allow protesters to impose a blasphemy

taboo that would restrict freedom of speech.

0:01:18.960,0:01:23.840

Since then responses from the rest of society have been mixed. The commentators who have come

0:01:23.840,0:01:27.600

out most firmly in support of the teacher's

of the cartoons are predictably those in the

0:01:27.600,0:01:32.640

right-wing media. The Government has

condemned the

protesters but without doing anything specific

to

0:01:32.640,0:01:37.680

help the teacher. Opinions on the left, from

the

media, to politicians, to teaching

organisations,

0:01:37.680,0:01:42.240

have varied from support for the principle of

free speech, albeit hedged with

qualifications,

0:01:42.240,0:01:45.840

to disapproval of the teacher's decision

to use cartoons that were offensive.

0:01:47.200,0:01:50.160

In this Episode I'll be talking

to three guests whose perspectives

0:01:50.160,0:01:53.360

do not straightforwardly align with

either the political right or left:

0:01:53.360,0:01:58.000

a British Muslim campaigner; an ex-Muslim

journalist; and the NSS's Stephen Evans.

0:01:59.680,0:02:05.280

My first guest is Fiyaz Mughal OBE (FM). Fiyaz

is

a British Muslim who in 2011 founded 'Tell

Mamma',

0:02:05.280,0:02:09.920

an organisation that aimed to monitor anti-

Muslim

hatred and provide support to its victims.

0:02:09.920,0:02:14.240

He is currently Director of 'Faith Matters',

an organisation that works to resolve conflicts

0:02:14.240,0:02:19.040

and strengthen relationships between faith communities. In response to the Batley case

0:02:19.040,0:02:24.000

Fiyaz wrote an article for The Spectator

entitled

"The Batley Protesters do not Represent me".

0:02:27.440,0:02:31.440

(EP): Fiyaz Mughal, welcome to the podcast.

(FM): Thank you. (EP): In your article for The

0:02:31.440,0:02:37.200

Spectator you claimed that the Batley

protesters

did not represent you. What motivated you to

write

0:02:37.200,0:02:43.120

this? (FM): Well it was important to get

an alternative opinion out there into the

0:02:43.120,0:02:49.680

public sphere and public domain,

predominantly

because the key view that was coming out

from

0:02:49.680,0:02:56.560

Batley was that a group of Muslims, a group

of

mainly predominantly overwhelmingly

Muslim men,

0:02:57.280,0:03:04.000

were standing outside of school and the

impression

given was that it was potentially intimidating

+0

0:03:04.000,0:03:11.520

the school itself, religious chants outside

the school, the view that a certain

perspective

0:03:12.320,0:03:20.000

of the protection of religion was coming across and I just thought there are many

0:03:20.000,0:03:25.840

different opinions around issues of Islam within

Muslim communities and the way that the Prophet

0:03:25.840,0:03:30.480

can be depicted within Muslim communities.

You

know people have different perspectives and I

0:03:30.480,0:03:36.720

wanted to ensure that different perspectives came out. Many Muslims like myself want to get

0:03:36.720,0:03:42.720

on with their lives. Islam is a very personal thing in our lives. We don't particularly see

0:03:43.840,0:03:52.240

see this enforcement, rigid enforcement of the way

Islam is practised, as being fundamental to Islam

0:03:52.240,0:03:59.920

and we see a flexibility in interpretation of Islam and a flexibility which also involves how

0:03:59.920,0:04:11.200

to discuss, learn, enjoy and also include the views

of others in how we practise and how we believe

0:04:11.200,0:04:18.960

in our faith. (EP): On the spectrum of

different

Muslim beliefs, say from fundamentalists to more

0:04:18.960,0:04:24.720

liberal, where would you put yourself? (FM):

It's a very

difficult scale that you've given me you

know:

0:04:25.680,0:04:32.560

the conservatives and groups of

fundamentalists

and you know, there are

0:04:32.560,0:04:38.560

differences even in what I've just suggested

here,

would say that I would probably sit in a more

0:04:38.560,0:04:44.000

progressive, liberal form of Islam but I don't. I reject that totally. I reject those kind of

0:04:44.000,0:04:51.520

bracketing totally, because actually Islam is never, and religion never really, sits into

0:04:51.520,0:04:57.520

these forms of brackets. Traditions can be interpreted and interpreted fluidly

0:04:58.240,0:05:05.920

and they change and go back and re-adapt

and

change over time so if I have to kind of say

0:05:05.920,0:05:14.400

something I would say that I would, I see

myself as

practising an Islam which is fluid, which takes

0:05:14.400,0:05:20.080

into account the changing context of society. And I think any religion, any thought process

0:05:20.800,0:05:28.000

exactly goes through that adaptation over

time.

So they may say progressive/liberal;

0:05:28.640,0:05:37.600

I would say actually REALISTIC of my view of my

religion. (EP): What response have you had so far from

0:05:37.600,0:05:42.720

readers of your article in The Spectator both Muslims and others? (FM): Well the responses have been

0:05:43.360,0:05:50.080

overwhelmingly positive, but obviously there

those who I've had responses, from a handful

0:05:50.080,0:05:55.440

of members of British Muslim community, that have

been negative. Many responses from British Muslims

0:05:55.440,0:06:02.080

who have been very positive saying EXACTLY that.

that actually you know they don't see a clash

0:06:02.080,0:06:07.120

of values, that actually they live their lives and Islam is very personal to them and you know

0:06:07.120,0:06:13.440

and that they are just thankful somebody speaks

up against the kind of brittle and against the

0:06:13.440,0:06:21.520

marginal views of individuals like those standing

outside Batley school. And so I've had

0:06:21.520,0:06:25.600

a lot of positive responses from British Muslims

iviusiiiiis

but also I've had some responses which have been

0:06:26.560,0:06:34.000

literally saying, "Oh we agree with you BUT", and that we agree with you but then goes on to

0:06:34.000,0:06:41.120

say but the picture was one which showed Muhammad with a bomb on his head, in the school.

0:06:42.320,0:06:48.720

And my view to them is well are you trying to then justify the actions on the back of what

0:06:48.720,0:06:53.840

you've just said? You know, so there's this kind of

"Oh we agree with you but" there's NO "we agree with

0:06:53.840,0:07:00.880

you but" in this in this conversation. You can't agree and then take a dissenting view which says

0:07:00.880,0:07:06.080

"BUT you shouldn't show a cartoon", you know

irrespective of what that cartoon was.

0:07:06.080,0:07:14.320

If it was used in the context of discussion to say, "What is the role of racism in our society?"

0:07:14.320,0:07:18.560

because you know, let's be honest, some of these cartoons have a very very strong

0:07:19.120,0:07:26.960

racial overtone right? They place Muslims, they

place BME individuals in a very aggressive tone,

0:07:26.960,0:07:32.080

obviously threatening tone, with the bomb on

their head, you know a terroristic tone, if i

0:07:32.080,0:07:36.960

can say that. But you know we're going to have

to have these discussions because these cartoons

0:07:36.960,0:07:42.320

are already out there. As I've said before: within

one or two clicks somebody can find them online.

0:07:42.960,0:07:49.280

We don't live in a society where you can hide things actually I think thankfully. And you know

0:07:49.280,0:07:52.720

we're going to have to have this discussion so if you can if we can have these discussions in

0:07:52.720,0:07:58.160

a fashion which is facilitated in which Muslim students do not feel targeted but have their

0:07:58.160,0:08:03.360

chance to say what they need to say and be heard and feel included in that discussion -

0:08:03.920,0:08:10.480

and it's a discussion about racism on cartoons and

and it is a discussion about faith and its place

0:08:10.480,0:08:18.000

in society. But it is done in a facilitated fashion which does not target Muslim students

0:08:18.000,0:08:22.640

as I believe it wasn't done in Batley, then these

are discussions we need to have because frankly

0:08:23.440,0:08:29.520

any kid or any individual within two clicks can find these cartoons and if you don't

0:08:29.520,0:08:36.080

have these discussions the views that they can gather and gain by going online and just

0:08:36.080,0:08:41.760

sitting in their rooms and watching these kind of

cartoons and listening to these kind of debates

0:08:42.560,0:08:49.200

can be very polarising. So we need to have these debates in our educational settings

0:08:49.200,0:08:55.840

in a facilitated and in an inclusive way which gets people to talk, young people to talk about

0:08:55.840,0:09:02.000

these things, without targeting other individuals

in the classroom. (EP): So what you're saying is

0:09:02.000,0:09:09.200

actually one of the things that education can and

should do is enable students to have the tools

0:09:09.760,0:09:15.920

to look at controversial material, material which may inadvertently or may be designed to

0:09:16.640,0:09:23.200

either satirise, attack, criticise people on the basis either of their race or religion. But

0:09:23.200,0:09:26.960

doing this in the classroom looking at it critically, looking at it in an open way

0:09:27.520,0:09:33.680

with everyone being allowed to speak, is actually

a way of enabling students to deal with it, to test

0:09:33.680,0:09:41.040

ideas and and thereby to to ultimately have a much

more sort of a better more mature understanding of

0:09:41.040,0:09:46.400

it without just being polarised and absorbing extremist views either way? (FM): I think that's a really

0:09:46.400,0:09:51.840

fair statement and I think that sums up exactly

what I'm trying to say; it's just that. And I

0:09:51.840,0:09:57.280

would also add you know I had an example, I've lived an example of this when I was

0:09:57.280,0:10:06.480 17 you know. A debate took place in my physics class where

0:10:06.480,0:10:11.840

the Salman Rushdie affair was taking place at that

time and another individual had raised the issue

0:10:11.840,0:10:17.200

that Rushdie, he had every right to publish his book. (EP):Just to be clear this was when you

0:10:17.200,0:10:21.760

were at school in Kent in the 1980s? (FM): A school

in North London actually. (EP): In North London okay.

0:10:23.040,0:10:29.520

(FM): O the 19 yeah 80s that's right, late 80s.

And so I

was, you know, I was taken aback. I was hurt by that,

0:10:29.520,0:10:34.000

that opening up of the discussion, by this individual. I thought "It's a physics class

0:10:34.000,0:10:40.400

why are we discussing this?" but I had my chance

to say what I needed to say and actually the

0:10:40.400,0:10:46.400

the teacher was really good at allowing different voices to speak and the teacher

0:10:46.400,0:10:54.560

made very clear that 'The Satanic Verses' was clearly a work of fiction and he made very clear

0:10:54.560,0:10:59.840

that it does not reflect, the reactions do not at that time, the reactions in Bradford and other

0:10:59.840,0:11:07.360

parts of the country, do not reflect British Muslims. This is a debate in 1989 that was had in

0:11:07.360,0:11:12.080

my classroom and the teacher facilitated really well. He made me feel that it was

0:11:12.080,0:11:19.120

not an attack on Muslims. It was not a personal

attack. It was a discussion about social issues

0:11:19.120,0:11:24.080

and that actually my voice was important to be

heard in that debate. Now I was angry and I thought

0:11:24.080,0:11:28.560

we shouldn't have had that discussion but actually

I changed my opinion after that and I changed my

0:11:28.560,0:11:32.800

opinion and I looked back on that discussion and

it was totally reflective of discussions we

0:11:32.800,0:11:39.840

are still having to this day. And so I'm very thankful. I'm very thankful that teacher did

0:11:39.840,0:11:43.840

what he did and he came up to me at the end and said, "Look how do you feel?"

0:11:44.800,0:11:50.240

you know, "I hope you're not being hurt by this,

it's not about you, I'm glad you had your say".

0:11:50.240,0:11:55.680

The teacher did it so well and I look back and I think thank God we had that discussion because

0:11:55.680,0:12:02.400

it exposed me to alternative views. It got me to

think. It got me to understand different people

0:12:02.400,0:12:07.680

had different opinions and it also got me to to take on board that we could have a deeply

0:12:08.560,0:12:14.640

divisive debate but actually in the end I can still get on with people and I can still sit

0:12:14.640,0:12:19.840

in the classroom and I can still smile and say hello to those pupils who have had these kind of

0:12:19.840,0:12:26.240

discussions. So it made me learn how to listen to different views. So that is an example in

0:12:26.240,0:12:31.840

1989 of what happened to me and if that took

place 30 years ago, nearly 30 years ago odd -

0:12:32.560,0:12:38.560

frankly, why are we not having these discussions in

that sort of a manner (and clearly teachers can do

0:12:38.560,0:12:44.960

this without Muslim pupils feeling marginalised and isolated). It's as if, it's as if those Batley

0:12:45.680,0:12:51.840

individuals standing outside the school think that

Muslims don't have the mindset or the capability

0:12:52.480,0:12:59.440

to actually deal with such issues; it's ludicrous.

Actually they're marginalising ourselves,

0:12:59.440,0:13:05.920

Batley demonstrators are marginalising Muslims

out by giving this view that we are, you know,

0:13:05.920,0:13:11.680

that we take slight to every issue, that actually

we don't have the mental capacity to deal with

0:13:11.680,0:13:18.320

these issues which is just LUDICROUS. (EP): So what

you're saying is actually Muslims like anyone else,

0:13:18.320,0:13:23.040

the best way of dealing with these controversial subjects is for everyone to be

0:13:23.040,0:13:28.400

allowed to say, everyone to be involved rather than

excluding some people because you know the rest

0:13:28.400,0:13:33.280

of society is so worried about even mentioning

these issues? (FM): Well that's right and I'll

0:13:33.280,0:13:37.760

go further to say this: you know I've worked in

the field of extremism, countering extremism, for 20

0:13:37.760,0:13:45.040

years. If you start, if society starts to say "Don't

talk about certain issues, don't look at certain

0:13:45.040,0:13:52.240

things, don't go in certain areas... Now there is a

line okay there is a line. You don't really want to

0:13:52.880,0:13:59.680

promote violent extremist material in society. Clearly there is a line, but if the

0:13:59.680,0:14:05.760

view is taken within schools, educational establishments within statutory authorities

0:14:05.760,0:14:13.120

and other sectors of society that you, that society really can't discuss, go there, look at

0:14:13.680,0:14:20.480

or view material to which has, all which has alternative viewpoints, then we're in a very bad

0:14:20.480,0:14:26.480

place. Because what it does, it reduces the ability

for somebody to have that mental resilience to

0:14:26.480,0:14:32.240

deal with difficult issues in life and actually it creates a society where polarisation

0:14:32.240,0:14:38.720

and ultimately extremism can

take root because extremism only takes root

0:14:39.440,0:14:45.120

in mindsets that are not able, that are not able to be resilient to different thoughts.

0:14:45.120,0:14:52.640

This is really key. Extremism takes root in minds

which are not able to have the mental resilience

0:14:52.640,0:14:55.920

to deal with different and varying positions and views.

0:14:57.600,0:15:02.240

(EP): And that's the only way really you can

tolerance and understanding of other people

0:15:02.240,0:15:07.440

is if you are intellectually able to cope with views which you might find perhaps

threatening or

0:15:07.440,0:15:11.600

offensive but you've got to be able to deal with

them if you want to understand other people and to

0:15:11.600,0:15:16.960

communicate with them. (FM): Absolutely. (EP): The response of the Headteacher in the Batley case

0:15:16.960,0:15:23.440

was absolutely opposite of that. He apologised

profusely for the teacher's use of the cartoon

0:15:23.440,0:15:28.320

and he said, you know, the teacher's been suspended pending a formal investigation. How

0:15:28.320,0:15:33.440

should the Headteacher have reacted? (FM): Well

the Headteacher should have, in my view,

0:15:34.240,0:15:41.680

taken time to consult with a wider set of parents.

The first thing he should have done was to say

0:15:41.680,0:15:48.560

"Look I'm not going to respond to this immediately", because actually by responding to a

0:15:48.560,0:15:53.440

group of demonstrators outside the school I can

give the image that these demonstrators have

0:15:53.440,0:15:59.840

effectively changed school policy on teaching and that's exactly what he did by responding

0:15:59.840,0:16:05.120

so quickly to the demonstrations outside his

school. He actually, he gave the impression that he,

0:16:06.000,0:16:11.680

that the demonstrators could carry on this kind

of activity, this kind of activity of, I would say

0:16:12.640,0:16:18.320

intimidation, and so you know he should have taken

time to consult with other parents but also in

0:16:18.320,0:16:23.440

the end should have come out with a decision :

believe which said: you know the school has the

0:16:23.440,0:16:30.160

the right to carry out teaching as it sees fit, again in a way which doesn't marginalise students

0:16:30.160,0:16:36.160

but in a way which allows for debate and dissent.

Now that's precisely why we send kids to school.

0:16:37.120.0:16:42.080

(EP): :Let's look now at the details of the Batley case and see how that idea of Islam

0:16:42.080,0:16:46.720

might relate to that. Now the full story of what

exactly happened in the classroom has not yet been

0:16:46.720,0:16:51.520

officially confirmed. However, it seems as though

the teacher showed his class some cartoons, from

0:16:51.520,0:16:56.480

Charlie Hebdo probably, which you have

mentioned may have included the one with Prophet

0:16:56.480,0:17:01.440

Muhammad with a bomb in his turban. Now it seems

that these were shown as part of a discussion

0:17:01.440,0:17:07.440

about blasphemy. It seems though I think (this is

not yet confirmed) that the teacher did so after

0:17:07.440,0:17:13.680

warning the students and inviting any who wish to

leave the classroom. Now The Muslim Action Forum,

0:17:13.680,0:17:19.120

which describes itself as an assembly of senior

Muslim scholars and major organisations in the UK

0:17:19.120,0:17:24.720

working to deal with affronts to global civility, sent an open letter to Boris Johnson condemning

0:17:24.720,0:17:29.280

the use of the cartoons by the Batley teacher.

particular the letter claimed that the teacher's

0:17:29.280,0:17:35.200

use of the cartoons was, and I quote "based on the usual attempt of inciting hatred and

0:17:35.200,0:17:42.800

Islamophobia whilst pushing forward extremist white supremacist ideology". Why

0:17:42.800,0:17:49.440

do you think it is that The Muslim Action Forum

framed the debate in terms of racism, Islamophobia.

0:17:50.080,0:17:57.200

Is the simple act of showing an insulting cartoon

of Muhammad in itself Islamophobic or do we really

0:17:57.200,0:18:06.000

need to look at the context? (FM): (To)

Answer a really good

question again... this is really a complex and

0:18:06.000,0:18:10.800

a nuanced set of views I'm going to give you because life is not as simple as black

0:18:10.800,0:18:17.760

and white. We all know that. The first thing to say is there should be no blanket bans on anything

0:18:17.760,0:18:23.680

around thought unless it promotes violence in

our society. Okay, that's the fundamental principle

0:18:23.680,0:18:28.240

by which I live my life and it's a mental principle which I believe many British people

0:18:28.880,0:18:36.080

live their lives by. The fact is anything is up for support, critique, etc. Any idea is up for either

0:18:36.080,0:18:43.680

of the two etc so no blanket bans first thing. The second thing I have a real problem with

0:18:43.680,0:18:48.160

is a group writing to the Prime Minister to

effectively introduce blasphemy laws back into

0:18:48.160,0:18:54.240

the UK. I have a real problem with that because we

don't live in the medieval times thankfully and,

0:18:54.240,0:18:59.280

you know, anyone who writes to the Prime Minister

suggesting that we need to introduce those laws

0:18:59.280,0:19:06.560

doesn't even live in modern reality today because

it's bizarre. The third thing I would say is -

0:19:08.640,0:19:16.960

here's the nuance in my thinking - simply providing a cartoon is not the best way or simply

0:19:16.960,0:19:21.520

producing a cartoon and letting kids have a free-fall discussion is not the best way

0:19:22.080,0:19:28.240

to discuss very complex issues. So I would say very strongly you know providing a cartoon picture

0:19:28.240,0:19:32.000

and the teacher sitting back and letting kids go "get on with it" is not the way to teach this

0:19:32.000,0:19:39.360

because it COULD reinforce it could reinforce some stereotypical images. It could do that.

0:19:40.160,0:19:48.000

What I am saying is context is ABSOLUTELY key

and 'with context' means introducing images

0:19:49.360,0:19:57.600

like Mohammad with a bomb on his head sadly needs

contextual discussion: if it is going to be used

0:19:57.600,0:20:05.840

challenge racism; if it is going to be used to introduce why debate and dissent is important.

0:20:06.400,0:20:14.560

But the context needs to, as I said, make clear that this is a a cartoon which doesn't reflect

0:20:14.560,0:20:20.400

the reality of all Muslims. That actually it is just the perspective of one individual drawing

0:20:20.400,0:20:26.480

the cartoon but actually the bomb on the head

of a person who looks like they are from the

0:20:26.480,0:20:33.920

Middle East has racist connotations, but we are discussing free speech. And if it has

0:20:33.920,0:20:39.920

racist connotations let's talk about them. And if

people see in that cartoon they need to challenge

0:20:39.920,0:20:45.200

religion let's talk about it. But I mean the presentation of Muhammad with the bomb on his head

0:20:45.760,0:20:51.520

has so many different connotations, then you can have so many discussions and actually by

0:20:51.520,0:21:01.360

doing so you are teaching, you are reflecting, you

are bringing up these thoughts or of critical

0:21:01.360,0:21:08.080

thinking in the minds of young people. You know

I look at that I see a cartoon and I see

0:21:08.800,0:21:13.760

discussion around religion and faith, discussion

around faith in society, discussion around racism

0:21:13.760,0:21:20.240

and prejudice, discussion around stereotypes and

tropes. It brings up so many threads in my mind

0:21:20.240,0:21:26.000

which in the end make me an individual who can have a richer and deeper thought process

0:21:26.000,0:21:30.160

and a more critical thought process which makes me a healthier individual

0:21:30.160,0:21:35.840

going forward. Precisely what you've just suggested -

we need to have these multiple discussions because

0:21:35.840,0:21:40.240

in the end there's no simple answer to a cartoon

being produced but if you don't produce a cartoon

0:21:41.120,0:21:47.920

and a kid then who is inculcated with the view that you must NEVER see this cartoon,

0:21:47.920,0:21:54.240

you must never talk about this cartoon, goes and

sees them, their view, I can guarantee you,

0:21:54.240,0:21:59.760

will be one of anger. When they see it and

their

view will be, "Who is it that published that cartoon?

0:21:59.760,0:22:05.360

What can I do? How can I challenge that? How

I fight back? Oh this is disgusting. This is an

0:22:05.360,0:22:11.280

attack on me". it becomes a far more

aggressive and

polarised response than the responses you

get if

0:22:11.280,0:22:17.200

you actually had these nuanced discussions

early

on in life. (EP): So one of the functions of

education in

0:22:17.200,0:22:23.760

such a case is to draw the sting from these

sorts

of materials. (FM): Absolutely. I mean

education is all

0:22:24.640,0:22:32.880

ALL about getting people to think and drawing

the

sting out of things which are difficult in

society.

0:22:33.440,0:22:41.120

Okay? It teaches us to cope with the

difficulties

of life. (EP): It's a fact that other teachers in

British

0:22:41.120,0:22:46.320

schools have in the past used the Charlie

Hebdo

cartoons as a resource for critical discussion

0:22:46.320,0:22:51.440

without complaints or certainly nothing that has

reached the headlines. Why do you think the Batley

0:22:51.440,0:22:57.440

case has flared up now? (FM): I think the Batley case

has flared up because of a combination of things.

0:22:57.440,0:23:04.560

I talked about, I talked about this online/phone cam set of campaigns that

0:23:04.560,0:23:11.840

have rumbled on. Those have taken over the last

three, four, five years and so you've got increased

0:23:12.880,0:23:19.040

activity increase. I would say

'silent activity', Whatsapp messages sent by

0:23:19.040,0:23:25.760

groups sending these messages to mobilise and

to create anger, to create anger in society in

0:23:25.760,0:23:32.080

parts of micro-religionists which then creates these

flashpoints. So there's this bit which is off the

0:23:32.080,0:23:35.840

radar because these groups try to keep off the

radar, don't want to leave a digital footprint

0:23:35.840,0:23:41.360

but they use mobile phones to spread this mob

style campaigning. That's one element of it.

0:23:41.920,0:23:48.800

The second element of it is after Charlie Hebdo

after Samuel Paty, this has become a bit of a

0:23:48.800,0:23:54.560

flashpoint issue which some groups know

they can

just rouse parts of the community to shut

down

0:23:54.560,0:24:01.280

discussion and debate. So we're moving in

the

wrong direction is what we're saying, with

some

0:24:01.280,0:24:05.280

parts of my co-religists, we're moving in the

wrong

direction instead of, you know, instead of

looking

0:24:05.280,0:24:10.640

at these issues in a way which says "Well you

know

let's just step back and take a level-headed

0:24:10.640,0:24:15.520

approach of talking and discussing and

listening to the school". There's a reaction to

it.

0:24:16.160,0:24:22.640

And I think thirdly the Salman - I

have to go back - the Salman Rushdie affair

has in

0:24:22.640,0:24:29.600

the minds of some of my co-religionists

created

a view that if you shout long enough

0:24:30.560,0:24:36.880

and if you make a noise long enough and if

you give a view that people are insulting

0:24:37.600,0:24:42.560

a whole set of communities that actually you

can just get them to stop talking about it.

0:24:43.200,0:24:48.960

And I think a bit of that stuck and that means that people are using those same tactics

0:24:48.960,0:24:56.640

and campaigns when issues like this come up. (EP): How do you see liberal and moderate Muslims or

0:24:56.640,0:25:01.520

Muslims with a more fluid interpretation of Islam as you've characterised yourself.

0:25:01.520,0:25:05.600

How do you see them participating in British civil society today and in the future?

0:25:06.560,0:25:12.160

(FM): They participate really well. They get on

they're engaged in different parts of our society,

0:25:12.160,0:25:19.440

different statutory organisations, in the political

sphere. They are in every facet of our society

0:25:21.360,0:25:30.080

but, BUT the loudest voices are constricting the space

for them to talk because they are frightened.

0:25:30.960,0:25:36.880

That's a sad reality. They are frightened. (EP): Why are

they so frightened? (FM): Because they don't want to

0:25:36.880,0:25:43.120

have mobbing style text campaigns run against

them, because they don't want to be smeared by

0:25:43.120,0:25:50.320

Islamist websites which actually are very good

at maligning people. They don't particularly want

0:25:50.320,0:25:56.480

to have mosques shut them out and have all the local

imams say "Don't speak about these issues". This is

0:25:56.480,0:26:02.720

the reality. This is the reality. If you speak out you will have these tentacles wrap themselves

0:26:02.720,0:26:11.520

around your neck because of the loudest voices

who try to malign you, smear you, intimidate you and

0:26:12.560,0:26:16.880

that's why the majority of British Muslims, even if they have an opinion on this,

0:26:17.520,0:26:23.440

will not want to speak out because they, like anyone else, just want a quiet life. They

0:26:23.440,0:26:31.440

are in all parts of our society feeling at home in Britain, feeling at ease with Islam in modern

0:26:31.440,0:26:36.960

day Britain and feeling that Islam has a space in this country. But they just cannot, will not speak out because of the thuggery, the intimidation, of fundamentalists in my, parts of my co-religionists,

0:26:46.480,0:26:54.560

who have had a free rein to intimidate for too long. (EP): How can we as a society counter 0:26:54.560,0:27:01.520

this thuggery? (FM): By calling it out. By politicians

having a spine and I just wrote in The Times

0:27:01.520,0:27:07.840

a letter two, three days ago which said just that.

When I have, I've worked for two decades with

0:27:09.200,0:27:13.920

agencies like the Home Office and Ministry for

Housing, Communities and Local Government. I've

0:27:13.920,0:27:18.400

worked in community issues for two decades and

I have simply lost count of the number of times

0:27:19.120,0:27:25.200

when I have said to government officials, "You need

to challenge some of the rhetoric of these groups.

0:27:25.200,0:27:30.720

You have the resources to challenge it, you have

the means to challenge it, you need to support

0:27:30.720,0:27:36.800

people who stand up against these groups". And civil

servants, as I said in my letter to The Times, nod,

0:27:37.840,0:27:46.080

agree and when social media and the mob style

tactics start, government are not government. The

0:27:46.080,0:27:51.440

civil servants run to the hills. They don't say anything. There's no, there's no counter

0:27:51.440,0:27:57.520

campaign against this kind of action. Very few politicians will speak up and if they do speak up

0:27:57.520,0:28:04.320

you don't find much support around government machinery to support them. So there is no

pushback

0:28:04.320,0:28:11.200

from government, particularly civil servants who literally, literally just wring their hands

0:28:11.200.0:28:18.560

and put their heads in the sand. And that is why, that is why we are at serious risk of our

0:28:18.560,0:28:26.400

values in society - values which are in line with Islamic tradition of

0:28:26.400,0:28:32.560

discussion, pluralism, freedom of thought - they are not different to Islamic tradition.

0:28:33.680,0:28:39.040

They are under attack because actually our government machinery is not willing to push

0:28:39.040,0:28:44.080

back in a coordinated way, nor support those members of British Muslim communities who are

0:28:44.080,0:28:50.800

standing up. And eventually, as I wrote in The Times:

who wants to be alone standing up getting smeared,

0:28:51.360,0:28:58.240

getting your employer written to, feeling in fear of your life? Who wants to do that

0:28:58.240,0:29:05.280

because these people are essentially winning. They're winning and we are as a society corroding,

0:29:05.280,0:29:12.000

corroding from within because we're not willing to

stand up for the majority of communities including

0:29:12.000,0:29:18.960

British Muslim communities who actually enjoy

the space in our society where they can live,

0:29:18.960,0:29:25.840

be and practise what they want. (EP): Fiyaz Mughal, thank you very much. (FM): Thank you.

0:29:32.400,0:29:36.000

(EP): My second guest is Khadija Khan a journalist and commentator who has

0:29:36.000,0:29:41.120

written for publications including The Nation, sister-hood, Aereo magazine and Sedar which

0:29:41.120,0:29:45.440

is a platform for Muslim heritage voices to challenge prevailing narratives around Islam.

0:29:45.440,0:29:48.960

Khadijah, welcome to the podcast. (KK): Thank you so much Emma for having me.

0:29:49.760,0:29:56.400

(EP): Frst of all maybe we could just start Khadijah

by telling us about your position in this. I

0:29:56.400,0:30:03.280

understand you were brought up in a Pakistani

Muslim family. Are you still a practising Muslim?

0:30:04.000,0:30:10.720

(KK): I was born and raised in a conservative household, religiously conservative households, and

0:30:11.840,0:30:16.880

I believe children who are born and raised in in such households, they don't have any choice

0:30:17.440,0:30:24.800

when it comes to embrace our religion or faith. So they do what they are told and they

0:30:25.840,0:30:32.320

practise whatever religion they are taught by their parents, so the same thing happened to me.

0:30:32.880,0:30:42.320

I followed religion, I followed tradition, I experienced religious teaching and religious,

0:30:44.480,0:30:54.880

you can say 'saying', as as a child who was indoctrinated by parents, by the society, by

0:30:54.880,0:31:04.080

peers. So when I have this choice, to choose what to be, then I choose to be a humanist. So

0:31:04.080,0:31:09.120

I call myself a humanist. (EP): In terms of your heritage do you still see yourself as part of the

0:31:09.120,0:31:17.280

wider Muslim heritage community? (KK): Yes it's a part of

what I am. I cannot just refuse to be a part of

0:31:17.280,0:31:24.240

this, you know, heritage. It's what I am and they kind of, there are certain elements,

0:31:24.240,0:31:29.360

the radical elements in the Muslim community;

they would definitely abandon people like

0:31:30.000,0:31:36.640

and try to alienate us, but that's the reality that I cannot change

0:31:36.640,0:31:42.800

my words, my upbringing. (EP): Thank you for that

introduction to yourself Khadijah. Let's move now

0:31:42.800,0:31:49.360

to the Batley grammar school case in particular.

Have you been in touch with anyone who has been

0:31:49.360,0:31:54.960

connected to Batley or have you been contacted

by anyone who knows about it from the inside?

0:31:55.680,0:32:05.760

(KK): I have been contacted by a person whose sister is a

practising Muslim, you can call her, she wears

0:32:05.760,0:32:15.040

hijab and she identifies her and defines herself

as a practising Muslim. She teaches in that school

0:32:15.040,0:32:23.520 and it's really unfortunate

that these people are facing harassment for not

0:32:24.480,0:32:32.480

siding with the mob standing outside the

school gates and it was really shocking for me.

0:32:32.480,0:32:41.760

I would like to read the tweets I received from

that person. It says, the tweet reads, "Our family

0:32:41.760,0:32:48.800

have been dragged into this and it's been

My sister, a hijab wearing practising Muslim works

0:32:48.800,0:32:56.240

at that school. We know that school well and the teacher and they are so kind

0:32:56.240,0:33:03.840

and respectful of Muslims. Knowing the truth from

both sides most reactions are based on hearsay.".

0:33:05.360,0:33:12.240

The other tweet says: "The issue could and should have been resolved privately and in a

0:33:12.240,0:33:19.600

kind, respectful and civilised manner as Muslims

are supposed to, and not punish or judge. These

0:33:19.600,0:33:27.120

extremists will threaten even good Muslims if they have a different opinion to them and demand

0:33:27.120,0:33:37.200

they side with them.". So this tells us that these people standing outside the school gates -

0:33:37.200,0:33:44.240

they are not the representative of Muslim

communities, of British Muslim communities. But

0:33:45.040,0:33:51.040

by the mainstream media, by the concerned authorities they have been portrayed as

0:33:51.040,0:33:59.520

the spokesperson who are speaking on the behalf

of the British Muslims and their demands, their

0:34:00.720,0:34:08.720

reaction, the aggressive reaction to the whole situation is just unacceptable. (EP): Why do you think

0:34:08.720,0:34:14.000

it is that a moderate Muslims or liberals within

the Muslim community have not had more of a voice

0:34:14.000,0:34:21.120

or have not been given more of a voice by the mainstream media? (KK): Yes there is a problem here

0:34:21.120,0:34:28.800

that prominent people, politicians, journalists from within the Muslim community, they - whenever

0:34:28.800,0:34:35.200

something like this happens - they don't condemn them all, they don't condemn the

0:34:35.200,0:34:44.000

the aggressive reaction of participants of that, you know, vigilante group. They always

0:34:44.000,0:34:53.840

condemn what is happening within the premises

of school: "Why did this teacher do that, why

0:34:53.840,0:34:59.920

you're talking about equality for LGBTQ people?".

I'll give you a couple of examples. This

0:34:59.920,0:35:08.640

happened in 2018 when a Head teacher in East

London, she had to reverse a ban on hijab for the

0:35:08.640,0:35:14.560

the girls under eight years old. (EP): This was

St. Steven's Primary School in 2018

0:35:14.560,0:35:19.760

when the Head teacher Nina Lalas you state, you

(I'm describing your article) and she'd introduced a

0:35:19.760,0:35:24.400

ban on the hijab for girls under eight to improve

integration among the children in the school

0:35:24.400,0:35:30.000

but she was forced to reverse that progressive ban. Why was she forced to reverse it?

0:35:30.000,0:35:39.600

(KK): Because it was deemed like anti-muslim bigotry;

it was deemed an attack on Islam; it was deemed

0:35:40.560,0:35:47.680

like some kind of conspiracy against muslim communities; when in reality the truth of the

0:35:47.680,0:35:56.800

matter is that in Islam there is no compulsion

for

the children to wear hijab. It is being practised

0:35:57.360,0:36:04.960

right now within the communities for modesty

reasons. We know that. And it's a very open secret

0:36:04.960,0:36:12.800

but for some propositive, I would say, reasons some

people they want their little girls to wear

0:36:12.800,0:36:21.840

garments which are not made for little girls and the Head teacher: she only did it to

0:36:21.840,0:36:30.560

protect these girls from you know becoming isolated and what happened to her? She received 500

0:36:30.560,0:36:39.840

abusive emails on a daily basis. She was likened with

Hitler. She was branded as a paedophile. She had to

0:36:40.720,0:36:50.720

apologise publicly. (EP): Who were the people behind this?

(KK): Yes, that was also a very

0:36:50.720,0:36:57.280

a hot discussion that who is doing it. These are

obviously a extremist element and we say

0:36:57.280,0:37:04.960

that we don't know about it, we know when people

who are resisting these kind of progressive

0:37:04.960,0:37:14.800

moves within school premises, they are following

a radical and extremist interpretation of religion.

0:37:14.800,0:37:22.640

So when these people are heard, she had to..

why

did she apologise in the first place? Because

she

0:37:22.640,0:37:28.800

had no back(up) and you know what

happened after

this incident that is more, the most

problematic

0:37:28.800,0:37:37.280

part, that the discussion on hijab is, has been shut down. Since then there is no discussion

0:37:37.920,0:37:44.320

on this issue anymore. And that is what they wanted to achieve and they achieve it

and

0:37:44.320,0:37:50.880

that must, this incident must have

emboldened

them and encouraged them to continue with

0:37:50.880,0:37:56.560

these kind of tactics. (EP): Because they saw

that

they worked, these tactics of intimidation

0:37:56.560,0:38:04.880

and of shouting loudly worked and had an

effect on

the authorities. (KK): Yes so a very dangerous

precedent

0:38:04.880,0:38:12.400

has been set. They know that when they will shout out loud they will be given privileges;

0:38:12.400,0:38:21.440

they will be given you know (a) free pass to

harass

and to intimidate educators and force them

to

0:38:22.320,0:38:30.320

reverse their decisions which are not in line with their own INTOLERANT beliefs.

0:38:31.120,0:38:36.800

(EP): Do you think on the side of the British authorities, on the side of the School or

0:38:36.800,0:38:44.640

the side of the teaching unions, politicians from

both left and right, do you think there is too much

0:38:45.200,0:38:55.280

willingness to listen to extremist representations

of Islam and to fail to really champion the values

0:38:55.280,0:39:00.320

of free speech and real appreciation of different people's views and to just instead

0:39:00.320,0:39:05.920

try to shut down discussion because they're so worried of being accused of discrimination?

0:39:06.960,0:39:15.440

(KK): There is this situation which is that these

are people, I always said these are bunch of

0:39:15.440,0:39:24.160

extremist fanatics and they are being represented,

sorry they are being presented, as the face of the

0:39:24.160,0:39:29.600

Muslim community. And mainstream media authorities,

politicians, whenever they want to talk about

0:39:30.640,0:39:38.560

Muslims and anything related to Islam, they would

go to someone who is very much conservative

0:39:38.560,0:39:45.520

in their views. They want to talk about

women's

rights in Islam - they would talk to a woman

who

0:39:46.160,0:39:52.880

is very much conservative who wears a hijab and who toes the line,

0:39:53.440,0:40:00.160

who would not defend women who would not

defend

women's place in Islam or in British society

0:40:00.160,0:40:07.760

but to parrot the narrative that is being, you know propagated by the male dominant

0:40:08.560,0:40:12.960

organisations here in the Britain. And the problem with the authorities is that

0:40:13.520,0:40:19.840

there are people who are very much

progressive,

they are Muslim reformers, activists but

0:40:20.400,0:40:27.440

they are not willing to talk to them because these people are not considered as practising

0:40:27.440,0:40:34.640

Muslims. They are considered as nonpractising or who are not like Muslims

anymore.

0:40:34.640,0:40:42.320

As Muslims, the thing is that you need to

address

the problem, the extremist and radical views

0:40:43.040,0:40:48.960

which are taking root in the community. You

need

to address that and for that you need to talk

0:40:48.960,0:40:54.480 about those people who want to become a part of the solution, not those who want to become a part of

0:40:54.480,0:41:01.200 problem or who are part of the problem. And one thing I would like to mention: that there are people

0:41:01.200,0:41:08.800 like Baroness Sayeeda Warsi. After this incident at Bethlehem what was she concerned about? She was

0:41:08.800,0:41:16.160 concerned about children from the Muslim community being bullied and called terrorists in the schools.

0:41:16.720,0:41:24.720
If it happens, if children are bullied it's reprehensible. There are no ifs and buts, but tell me

0:41:24.720,0:41:32.320 a minority group of fanatics from within a minority gather outside of the school gates,

0:41:32.880,0:41:41.520 intimidate the teachers, dictate an apology to the school admin, force them to suspend a teacher and

0:41:41.520,0:41:49.440 force that suspended teacher to go into hiding with his family. You tell me who is harassing who?

0:41:50.400,0:41:57.520

These are the people who should be condemned unequivocally by these politicians, by a journalist

0:41:57.520,0:42:04.880 but they are not condemning. (EP): Have you yourself received violent or intimidating messages?

0:42:05.760,0:42:13.440 (KK): Yes I have received, I had to remove all information, personal information from

0:42:14.160,0:42:23.840 about me from social media. I cannot share anything, anything related to my family, to

0:42:24.800,0:42:31.360 my personal life and still I receive these kind of threats. In fact

0:42:32.640,0:42:39.440 some people tried to, you know, indicate my area where I live in the past and

0:42:40.080,0:42:49.360 it was quite concerning for me. But yes it happens because it's not easy to

0:42:50.160,0:42:57.280 confront these radicals and fanatics without having any support from the authorities,

0:42:57.280,0:43:04.000 and in such situations when authorities, they refuse to intervene and stand up for people like

0:43:04.720,0:43:13.600 me and for people like that suspended teacher, then obviously these radical elements - they feel

0:43:13.600,0:43:21.120 emboldened, they know that they can do it, they can threaten us, they can just silence 0:43:21.120,0:43:27.440

us with their threats, with their harassment. (EP): So the more the authorities turn a blind eye,

0:43:28.080,0:43:32.800

the more the extremists are encouraged, and the more they are likely to continue their

0:43:33.600,0:43:41.760

techniques of harassment and intimidation. How can,

how can we as a society deal with this issue of

0:43:41.760,0:43:50.400

of extremists and neutralise them and prevent

this spread of extremism and this tolerance

0:43:50.400,0:43:57.520

of this use of intimidation and this inequality of representation of different Muslim voices?

0:43:58.080,0:44:05.760

(KK): There a reality that we need to concede; it's like religious extremists have

0:44:05.760,0:44:12.000

successfully deployed harassment campaigns

make school administrations comply with their

0:44:12.000,0:44:18.400

intolerant religious beliefs. We have seen that. And authorities didn't show any spine

0:44:19.360,0:44:26.320

and their recklessness caused us this situation where we are today.

0:44:27.280,0:44:33.520

The educators, they have no support; the people from

within the Muslim community who are progressive,

0:44:33.520,0:44:43.360

who embrace democratic values of a free society,

they have no support because the government

0:44:43.360,0:44:53.760

refuses to intervene and there is always a preference this to appease these fanatics,

0:44:53.760,0:45:02.480

there are efforts to accommodate the demands of

these fanatics. So all of these things they are not

0:45:03.280,0:45:12.080

helping (in) any way and not helpful to anyone so

we need to reflect especially the authorities.

0:45:12.080,0:45:17.280

There are people who are with conservative views;

I'm not saying that we need to silence people

0:45:17.280,0:45:26.640

we want discussion. And that is the problem, that

we don't get to discuss these ideas without having

0:45:26.640,0:45:34.240

any fear of, you know, being intimidated or harassed. We are not saying to silence people, to

0:45:34.240,0:45:41.920

shut down you know the debate on the other side.

We are saying that: let the debate begin; let people

0:45:41.920,0:45:48.480

come together to gather and discuss the ideas there.

You can have conservative voices as well as

0:45:49.120,0:45:57.520

progressive voices coming together and then we can

find some solution to these problems. But when you

0:45:57.520,0:46:07.360

will shut down a debate by saying that you are

not allowed to offend sensibilities of (a) group

0:46:08.320,0:46:15.440

of fanatics, then obviously there is no debate and then you cannot resolve any issue. We need

0:46:15.440,0:46:20.560

to listen to people, those who are conservative

in their views and those who are progressive

0:46:20.560,0:46:27.280

in their views, so that we can come up with the solutions. And we also need to uphold

0:46:27.840,0:46:36.080

the secular values of a free society because we know that this freedom of speech

0:46:36.080,0:46:43.120

is not a religious value, it's a secular value and we have to uphold this value for everyone.

0:46:43.760,0:46:48.960

(EP): And that includes extremists as well but that means that they need to accept freedom

0:46:48.960,0:46:56.000

of speech for others too. (KK): Exactly and you know

one thing I always say that all the time these

0:46:56.000,0:47:04.800

conservative people and people like say Sayeeda

Warsi, they are always in favour of "You

0:47:04.800,0:47:09.040

should not be talking about this, you should not be

talking about that, you should not be offending the

0:47:09.040,0:47:15.600

feelings of Muslim community". I always say that the curtailment of freedom of speech -

0:47:16.560,0:47:25.440

it's not good for minorities. We need freedom of

speech to voice our concerns and if we will talk

0:47:25.440,0:47:31.600

about the containment of free speech we are cutting off the branch we are sitting on.

0:47:32.400,0:47:38.720

We are a minority and we need to voice our concerns, we need to talk about the rights, we

0:47:38.720,0:47:45.040

need to talk about what are our problems. But when we will say that we should not, you

0:47:45.040,0:47:52.080

know, there are other people who can be offended by

there are people who say that

0:47:52.080,0:47:59.680

we are offended by looking at people with having long beard, and you know wearing loose

0:47:59.680,0:48:06.560

clothing and then wearing burkas and all that. So when you talk about protecting sensibilities 0:48:06.560,0:48:13.840

there is no limit once it is started. Then it would

be minorities who would be on the receiving end.

0:48:13.840,0:48:19.760

(EP): So let's say limiting free speech in the long run is not going to benefit those

0:48:19.760,0:48:33.840

who are already trying to suppress it? (KK): Exactly.

(EP): Khaadija Khan thank you very much.

(KK): Thank you so much Emma.

0:48:36.160,0:48:41.360

(EP): My final guest is Stephen Evans (SE) who is CEO of the National Secular Society, who led

the support for the teacher and was interviewed

0:48:41.360,0:48:46.640

on BBC, ITV and Sky and other media channels. Stephen will be talking to me about the National Secular

0:48:46.640,0:48:51.680

Society's involvement in the Batley School case, and why free speech is at the heart of secularism.

0:48:52.480,0:48:56.800

Incidentally I couldn't help noticing that the motto affixed to the now locked gates of Batley

0:48:56.800,0:49:02.560

Grammar School is 'Forte non Ignave' which means 'with courage not cowardice'. Rather ironical in the

0:49:02.560,0:49:10.400

circumstances. Stephen welcome to the podcast. (SE): Hello, thank you. (EP): First of all, could you, just for our

0:49:10.400,0:49:16.240

listeners, go through what have been the National

Secular Society's main efforts and its main

0:49:16.240,0:49:20.880

involvement in speaking out against the protesters

and the school's treatment of the teacher?

0:49:21.680,0:49:28.480

(SE): Okay: so we issued a statement very

quickly

when we learned of the events that were

unfolding

0:49:28.480,0:49:34.720

at Batley Grammar because we recognised

straight

away that this was a a good example of

0:49:34.720,0:49:40.080

how modern-day blasphemy laws work. So it's

not

through the law, but it's through a culture of

0:49:40.080,0:49:45.680

offence, outrage and intimidation, and of

course

the underlying threat of violence; that's

0:49:45.680,0:49:50.640

always there. And we were really concerned

that the

teacher was on the receiving end of some

pretty

0:49:50.640,0:49:56.800

rough justice here. So you know we thought

we can't

have a situation whereby religious

fundamentalists

0:49:56.800,0:50:02.560

can have some sort of veto on what gets

taught

in schools or over what educational resources

0:50:02.560,0:50:07.680

get used. So i think it was vital for us to make that point and we were particularly concerned

0:50:08.320,0:50:16.480

that the school appeared to concede way too much

ground to the protesters, almost as a a kneejerk

0:50:16.480,0:50:21.280

response which has I think made matters worse for

the teacher, I think it's made it worse for the

0:50:21.280,0:50:27.200

school, and actually i think it's made it worse for

Muslims everywhere. Because by issuing an immediate

0:50:27.200,0:50:33.360

apology, suspending the teacher, calling the materials totally inappropriate, they just

0:50:33.360,0:50:40.080

sided straight away with the fundamentalists and incredibly, from the footage we saw

0:50:40.080,0:50:47.520

the ringleader of the protesters, or one of them,

appears to have been given a a role or had a hand

0:50:47.520,0:50:52.640

in drafting the school statement. So i suppose it's not particularly surprising that it came

0:50:52.640,0:50:58.640

out the way it did. But by doing all of that I think the school, they really hung the teacher out

0:50:58.640,0:51:05.040

to dry, they threw him under the bus and as

as being grossly unfair to the teacher in question,

0:51:05.600,0:51:12.800

I think it fuelled this climate of censorship and exceptionalism around Islam which again

0:51:12.800,0:51:19.600

it just does ordinary muslims no favours. So as

say I spent a significant amount of time on TV,

0:51:19.600,0:51:25.040

on radio last week, defending the teacher, standing

up for the principle of free expression and the

0:51:25.040,0:51:30.720

freedom to teach, and yes the importance of not

giving fundamentalists a foothold in our schools.

0:51:31.520,0:51:35.840

And of course we wrote to the school and the governors to express these concerns.

0:51:36.560,0:51:44.160

(EP): You might think that a fundamental principle in

fact of English law is that when a tribunal is

0:51:44.160,0:51:51.120

reviewing the case of of someone who against

a complaint has been issued they must be impartial

0:51:51.120,0:51:56.320

but if they allow the protesters to be involved effectively in judging the teacher that completely

0:51:56.320,0:52:03.040

takes away from their impartiality. (SE): Well absolutely.

There just seemed to be no, not even any pretence

0:52:03.040,0:52:09.440

of due process here. So we thought it was important

that the School received some pushback for

0:52:09.440,0:52:15.200

handling the matter in the way they did you know. I fully understand that schools want to promote

0:52:15.920,0:52:20.400

harmonious relationships with their local community. I think community cohesion is very

0:52:20.400,0:52:25.280

important and I think the school will obviously

want to behave in an inclusive way. But the mistake

0:52:25.280,0:52:30.960

they made is thinking that could be achieved by

pandering to the religious groups who want to

0:52:30.960,0:52:36.880

dictate what can and can't be taught. You can't do

that by surrendering to the mob because we know

0:52:36.880,0:52:42.560

their demands will never really be met so yes as

I say I think the school's response just fuelled

0:52:42.560,0:52:48.320

this climate of censorship, in which we're all,

we're you know, we're all expected to accommodate.

0:52:48.320,0:52:55.120

and kind of live by these unreasonable and reactionary religious views and blasphemy codes.

0:52:55.120,0:53:01.120

(EP): Khadija Khan has in fact characterised the approach of the authorities in this case

0:53:01.760,0:53:06.960

and in similar cases in the past, such as the Parkland Primary School case, the St.Stephen's

0:53:06.960,0:53:11.680

Primary School case, as one of appeasement of extremists. Would you agree with that?

0:53:12.800,0:53:16.720

(SE) I think that's a fair summary of the school's knee-jerk response

0:53:17.600,0:53:24.160

so obviously they have since launched an independent inquiry. But you know the point

0:53:24.160,0:53:29.840

of our letter to the school was to kind of rein them in a little bit and just just remind them

0:53:30.800,0:53:36.320

first of all that their priority should be the safety and the well-being of their member of staff.

0:53:37.760,0:53:42.960

We ask them to uphold the principle of free speech

and not submit to these unreasonable demands of

0:53:42.960,0:53:49.680

what was actually a very small group of protesters

who want to impose this blasphemy taboo on

0:53:49.680,0:53:55.280

the school and I'm sure society as a whole.

we made it clear to the school that by issuing

0:53:55.280,0:53:59.600

an immediate apology, rather than defending the

principle of free speech and the freedom to teach,

0:53:59.600,0:54:05.280

the school had actually sided with the religious

fundamentalists. So we urge them to in the letter,

0:54:05.280,0:54:09.600

we urge them to keep in mind that the protesters

who shout the loudest are not necessarily

0:54:09.600,0:54:16.320

representative of all Muslims. In fact by assuming

that Muslims will take offence at the use of the

0:54:16.320,0:54:22.480

cartoon I think is itself patronising to Muslims.

So we asked the school for an explanation of the

0:54:22.480,0:54:28.960

rationale behind their decision. None has been

forthcoming so far, but of course we know that an

0:54:28.960,0:54:33.600

inquiry has now been launched. (EP): So you've had

no responses to your letter from the school.

0:54:34.320,0:54:41.360

What about reactions to the Batley case: by politicians on the left and the right;

0:54:41.360,0:54:46.400

by the media; and by the unions? What sort of range of reactions have we seen?

0:54:47.920,0:54:54.240

I(SE): Largely as expected I think, most politicians have

stayed silent on the issue. The local Labour MP

0:54:54.960,0:55:00.720

praised the school's actions in apologising to the protesters. So that wasn't particularly helpful.

0:55:00.720,0:55:05.520

The Education Secretary and the Department of

Education have been a little bit more helpful.

0:55:05.520,0:55:10.080

They certainly condemned the nature of the protest and made clear that schools are

0:55:10.080,0:55:16.080

free to include a full range of issues, ideas and materials in the curriculum, including

0:55:16.080,0:55:21.680

where they are challenging and controversial.

that was somewhat helpful. Teaching unions

0:55:21.680,0:55:27.680

have stayed largely silent on the issue which

somewhat alarming I think, given that you know one

0:55:27.680,0:55:33.840

of their profession is in hiding and in fear of their life, suspended simply for doing his job.

0:55:34.400,0:55:39.760

To be fair, I think the National Education Union, they did call for the teacher's name and

0:55:39.760,0:55:45.600

identity to be protected, so you know that's something I suppose and I've since heard

0:55:45.600,0:55:51.520

quite recently actually the Head of the NASUWT

has said that teachers shouldn't be subjected to

0:55:51.520,0:55:55.040

threats for doing their jobs, which you would have thought goes without saying.

0:55:55.040,0:56:01.040

But it also said that controversial topics need to be debated and not closed down in classrooms so

0:56:01.040,0:56:06.240

maybe we are starting to see a little bit more in the way of support from the teaching unions. I

0:56:06.240,0:56:11.360

think they've been heavily criticised and rightly

so. So maybe we have started to see a little

0:56:11.360,0:56:16.800

bit of movement from there. But you know it's often

the case that there is an unwillingness I think on

0:56:16.800,0:56:25.280

the left of British politics to speak out against Islamism. I think it's part of a misguided attempt

0:56:25.280,0:56:30.000

perhaps to protect and defend Muslim communities

but I think it actually does them more harm

0:56:30.000,0:56:35.440

than good. But as for the media - well that

there has

been some really good commentary obviously

from

0:56:35.440,0:56:42.800

Fiyaz Mughal and Khadija Khan. We also saw

Kenan

Malik writing a really solid piece in The

Observer,

0:56:42.800,0:56:47.600

Matthew Sayed, the leader writer in The Times, wrote an excellent piece on the issue

0:56:47.600,0:56:53.280

so the media has given this some exposure and I

think by and large they handled it fairly well.

0:56:53.280,0:56:58.080

It's quite clear to me that the protesters have very little support, nor should they, but

0:56:58.080,0:57:04.880

unfortunately some of the Muslim

commentators on

the issue their support has not been

forthcoming

0:57:04.880,0:57:09.680

really for the teacher. They've certainly said that they've criticised the protesters but they

0:57:09.680,0:57:13.600

seem to be saying that they do have a point and I think their concern has been more for

0:57:14.320,0:57:21.520

how cartoons can stir up blasphemy than it is for this teacher whose career could be in

0:57:21.520,0:57:28.080

ruins and his life is in danger. (EP): Perhaps

the most

sensitive or difficult aspect of the whole case

0:57:28.080,0:57:36.080

is that the extremists, Islamists and the very, we might say, the very orthodox or conservative

0:57:36.080,0:57:42.000

Muslims who have come out, have been the ones protesting

have accused the teacher of Islamophobia

0:57:42.800,0:57:48.480

and even of white supremacy and all sorts of things like that. They've very much blurred the

0:57:48.480,0:57:54.160

boundary between blasphemy and racism. They've

indiscriminately accused the teacher of both.

0:57:54.160,0:58:00.320

Is this fair? (SE): There are clues to what happened in

the lesson from the petition that was actually

0:58:00.320,0:58:06.240

posted by the students to save their teacher's job. So according to their accounts, students were

0:58:06.240,0:58:12.160

given advanced warning that the cartoons would

be shown. The intention appears to have been to

0:58:12.160,0:58:18.560

educate pupils about blasphemy taboos within the

Islamic traditions and the controversy surrounding

0:58:18.560,0:58:25.680

the cartoons and the way in which the cartoons

have been used or utilised to demonise Muslims

0:58:25.680,0:58:31.520

and feed the narrative that Islam is inherently violent and you know I certainly don't think

0:58:31.520,0:58:38.320

that cartoons poking fun at a religious authority

AUTOMATICALLY do that. I think, actually I

think

0:58:38.320,0:58:43.360

satire is an excellent way to challenge religious

authority. But that racist element can be

0:58:43.360,0:58:50.160

So I think it's useful to have an awareness of that but there is absolutely no suggestion that

0:58:50.160,0:58:56.720

the teaching was intended to be in anyway disrespectful towards Muslims and actually I think

0:58:56.720,0:59:02.160

was quite the opposite by all accounts. So some of

the things that we've heard from the protesters -

0:59:02.160,0:59:07.360

that this was an attempt to stir up religious hatred, that it's racist, that the materials were

0:59:07.360,0:59:12.800

used in a threatening manner, you know leaving

children concerned for their safety and wellbeing -

0:59:12.800,0:59:18.160

I think some of the protesters said. I mean they're

so far off the mark they're quite frankly

0:59:18.160,0:59:25.200 unhinged and the very fact that this assumption was even made shows what kind of a

victimhood

0:59:25.200,0:59:32.160 narrative some of these protesters have. (EP): Finally

let's just think about the general principle. So

0:59:32.160,0:59:37.040

we have material which might be blasphemous and in its depictions of Muhammad we might have material

0:59:37.040,0:59:44.080 which might be both blasphemous and potentially

racist if it depicts a say a Middle Eastern

0:59:44.080,0:59:50.720

man in a turban with a bomb has been the most sort of notorious cartoon. But how can

0:59:50.720.0:59:55.520

materials like these have a place in their class?

I mean, we might think of the parallel that

0:59:55.520,1:00:02.560

been drawn with say anti-semitic cartoons used

by the Nazis which are taught in history lessons.

1:00:03.920,1:00:08.800

How should they be taught? Where is their place?

How can they be used in an appropriate way

1:00:08.800,1:00:15.840

to contribute to a culture of free speech? (SE): Well

I think they are illustrative examples of the

1:00:15.840,1:00:21.760

interface between religion and public life and you know the impact that religion has on society.

1:00:21.760,1:00:29.600

So I think the whole controversy that we've seen.

actually it speaks to a need for better human

1:00:29.600,1:00:34.720

rights education generally in schools. I think we need to do a better job of teaching about the

1:00:34.720,1:00:38.720

liberal democratic principles that underpin our rights of freedoms and also our

1:00:38.720,1:00:45.440

responsibilities as citizens and naturally any such education will include giving pupils

1:00:45.440,1:00:51.440

a solid understanding of ideas and principles around freedom of religion or belief and freedom

1:00:51.440,1:00:58.560

of expression and one very obvious and engaging

way of teaching about this is by using the

1:00:58.560,1:01:04.480

cartoons that have caused so much

controversy and

you know the cartoons that test that ability to

1:01:04.480,1:01:10.240

protect this fundamental human right. No question

the cartoons can be divisive but I think we need

1:01:10.240,1:01:16.000

to trust teachers to handle this appropriately and

I certainly think they should have the freedom to

1:01:16.000,1:01:23.120

teach. The decisions around what kind of materials

get taught in schools should be those decisions,

1:01:23.120,1:01:28.000

should be taken by the professionals, the teachers.

And they certainly shouldn't be influenced by

1:01:28.000,1:01:34.960

intimidation and threats from religious extremists.

But I think as Fiyaz Mughal has said, you know: we do

1:01:34.960,1:01:40.320

need to have these discussions about religion and its role in society. And doing it in a calm

1:01:41.040,1:01:46.720

critical mature and inclusive way in the classroom

is the ideal environment for that to happen.

1:01:47.280,1:01:53.120

I also I think it's an ideal way to address some of the polarisation and the extremism that's

1:01:53.120,1:01:58.320

going on in our society, and also the marginalisation of Muslims that's going on.

1:01:58.320,1:02:05.520

Because in a pluralistic society we all need to have the ability to live with differences of

1:02:05.520,1:02:12.000

opinion. We need to be able to handle and evaluate

alternative and conflicting views and schools

1:02:12.000,1:02:18.320

are the ideal place for these discussions to take

place and of course it should go without saying

1:02:18.320,1:02:24.160

that Muslims, just like any other pupils, need to be exposed to these different points of view.

1:02:24.160,1:02:30.160

(EP): So the messages is: trust teachers, trust students

allow people to develop the critical tools which

1:02:30.160,1:02:35.200

will enable them to see others' point of view, and

make up their own minds. (SE): Absolutely. I think that's

1:02:35.200,1:02:41.680

the recipe for an inclusive pluralistic secular state going forward where everyone's rights and

1:02:41.680,1:02:48.800

freedoms are respected and allowed to flourish.

(EP): Stephen Evans, thank you very much. (SE): Thank you.

1:02:54.160,1:02:59.360

This episode was produced by the National Secular

Society. All rights reserved. The views expressed

1:02:59.360,1:03:04.640

by contributors do not necessarily represent those of the NSS. You can access the show notes 1:03:04.640,1:03:10.480 and subscriber information for this and all our episodes at secularism.org.uk/podcast.

1:03:10.480,1:03:16.880 For feedback, comments and suggestions please email podcast@secularism.org.uk.

1:03:17.520,1:03:22.000 If you enjoyed this episode, please subscribe and leave us a positive review wherever you can.

1:03:22.720,1:03:29.840 Thanks for listening and I hope you can join us next time.

1:03:33.840,1:03:34.340