Dr Antony Lempert: Exploring religious freedom episode 05

Video available at: https://youtu.be/bdPBHCZICPc

0:00:01.840,0:00:05.800 (Alastair Lichten) Hello and welcome to the National Secular Society podcast

0:00:05.800,0:00:11.059 I am Alastair Lichten, Head of Education at the NSS This is part five in a series of interviews

0:00:11.060,0:00:16.700 where I speak to activists and experts about religious freedom and what it means to them.

0:00:16.700,0:00:21.360 This is leading up to our major conference in May: Secularism 2019

0:00:21.360,0:00:26.360 What does religious freedom truly mean? While some religious lobbyists use the

0:00:26.360,0:00:30.080 term to demand privileges, this conference will serve to highlight that true

0:00:30.080,0:00:34.180 religious freedom means freedom of belief for people of all religions and none.

0:00:34.180,0:00:38.000 If this conversation has whet your appetite, then I hope you'll join us at

0:00:38.000,0:00:44.200 The Tower Hotel in London on the 18th May. Tickets are just £50, or half price for our members, 0:00:44.200,0:00:47.880 and students can even get a ticket for just £10.

0:00:47.900,0:00:52.600 Today I spoke to Dr Antony Lempert, Chair of the Secular Medical Forum,

0:00:52.600,0:00:56.400 about the importance and misuse of religious freedom in health care

0:00:56.400,0:01:03.360 I hope you find the conversation interesting, and I'll be back at the end with a few thoughts.

0:01:03.400,0:01:08.980 Doctor Lempert, welcome to the NSS podcast (Dr L) Thank you, hello.

0:01:08.980,0:01:13.160 (AL) Do you want to start by telling the listeners a little bit about yourself and how you came to be

0:01:13.160,0:01:17.020 interested in this intersection between secularism and healthcare.

0:01:17.020,0:01:24.120 (Dr L) Sure. Well, my background is a GP and I work in a rural practice on the Welsh/English border

0:01:24.120,0:01:32.860 and I've long been interested in the rights of people to express their own beliefs. 0:01:32.880,0:01:36.280 But, certainly, I was one of the initial members when the

0:01:36.280,0:01:43.780 Secular Medical Forum was started in 2006, which was actually started by Dr Michael Irwin,

0:01:43.780,0:01:48.040 who initially ran it. Now, I got much more involved when I

0:01:48.040,0:01:52.820 started to assist him, and then I took over from him in early 2008.

0:01:52.820,0:01:59.080 And, to be fair, a lot of my understanding of the areas has evolved over the last

0:01:59.080,0:02:03.600 ten or eleven years, working with other organizations that share similar views

0:02:03.620,0:02:07.520 in certain of these areas, and also developing an understanding, and coming

0:02:07.520,0:02:14.780 up against some of the the barriers, and starting to appreciate the depth of some of the problems

0:02:14.860,0:02:20.380 as religious interference starts to affect other people's delivery of their own

0:02:20.380,0:02:23.840 personal health care, or the other way around. The importance of allowing

0:02:23.840,0:02:29.720 individual people to have health care that's important to them and their own personal beliefs. 0:02:29.720,0:02:35.200 (AL)What does religious freedom mean to you in a professional or personal capacity?

0:02:35.200,0:02:36.860 (Dr L) Well I suppose in the personal context

0:02:36.860,0:02:40.819 religious freedom means simply being allowed to believe what one wants, and to

0:02:40.820,0:02:46.100 put those beliefs into practice, without unnecessary restrictions.

0:02:46.100,0:02:50.740 Whilst also being mindful that any one person's personal beliefs will necessarily differ

0:02:50.740,0:02:56.140 from the beliefs of other people. It's also really important to be able to

0:02:56.140,0:03:03.660 change one's beliefs, and to recognize by doing so, that all religious belief is a choice of the individual.

0:03:03.660,0:03:06.290 For me this is quite a basic point that sometimes

0:03:06.290,0:03:10.760 goes missing in discussions, where people assume that they're

0:03:10.760,0:03:15.320 intrinsically entwined with their religion, and there's simply nothing they can do about it.

0:03:15.320,0:03:19.370 If you have that viewpoint, those who do wish to change

0:03:19.370,0:03:24.380

their opinions or beliefs or thoughts, or even one element of them, can come up

0:03:24.380,0:03:28.549 against real barriers within the community they've left by people who

0:03:28.549,0:03:33.560 don't understand that religion, like many other personal positions, is a personal

0:03:33.560,0:03:37.670 choice, not an imposition. Obviously, as we know that can lead from

0:03:37.670,0:03:42.650 simple condemnation to really quite significant harm, being done to people

0:03:42.650,0:03:48.470 who choose to change their their beliefs. So in reality it's important to be

0:03:48.470,0:03:51.560 respectful allow everyone the space and freedom to believe and practice what

0:03:51.560,0:03:55.790 they want. This freedom isn't passive either, and it needs to be worked for

0:03:55.790,0:04:00.530 because in some closed communities, for example, the dialogue is closed down

0:04:00.530,0:04:04.430 such that people don't have the choice of changing their belief. They can't even

0:04:04.430,0:04:09.010 conceive of a world without those particular beliefs or those practices.

0:04:09.010,0:04:13.370 Equally, in a wider context, religious freedom sits within the

0:04:13.370,0:04:16.820

spectrum of freedom of thought, conscience and belief, which is a

0:04:16.820,0:04:20.540 fundamental right, guaranteed to every single person on the planet,

0:04:20.540,0:04:25.400 by the UN Declaration of Human Rights. In this way it's no different from any other

0:04:25.400,0:04:30.030 form of freedom. I must similarly be constrained by the

0:04:30.030,0:04:34.020 restraints necessary to protect harm to others. I think it's really important

0:04:34.020,0:04:37.320 to put religious belief in the same context as other beliefs, because it

0:04:37.320,0:04:43.139 often assumes, or assumes for itself, a special place, a reserve

0:04:43.139,0:04:47.639 place, which is different from other beliefs. In a professional context

0:04:47.639,0:04:52.740 freedom of religion means very much just empowering patients to get the care, the

0:04:52.740,0:04:56.370 medical care, that's important to them. That works both ways. It means

0:04:56.370,0:05:01.800 ensuring that patients get the care that they choose within a reasonable context

0:05:01.800,0:05:06.840 of what's legal and reasonable and available, without other people imposing 0:05:06.840,0:05:10.950 or making unnecessary restrictions on them. an

0:05:10.950,0:05:15.030 Equally ,it means allowing religious people to access care that's in

0:05:15.030,0:05:17.669 accordance with their own personal beliefs, and not having people who

0:05:17.669,0:05:22.169 disagree trying to stop them from doing something that some

0:05:22.169,0:05:27.040 of us might regard as as wrong or it goes against the medical grain.

0:05:27.040,0:05:33.860 (AL)That has an interesting interaction, I guess, when we talk about the health care of children,

0:05:33.860,0:05:39.240 because the religious freedom of an adult to make health care

0:05:39.240,0:05:44.780 decisions which their doctor, or their community, may disagree with,

0:05:44.780,0:05:49.420 is different to the freedom to make those decisions for another.

0:05:49.420,0:05:55.940 (Dr L) It certainly is. Now, ordinarily, in health care, obviously, there are certain people, and children

0:05:55.950,0:06:00.520 are one of the most prominent among them, who don't have the capacity ordinarily.

0:06:00.539,0:06:03.630

Obviously some children develop the capacity during their childhood. But don't

0:06:03.630,0:06:07.620 have the capacity to make a decision for themselves, so there has to be somebody,

0:06:07.620,0:06:11.920 and usually that's the parent or guardian, who makes that decision for them.

0:06:11.920,0:06:16.220 It's really important, again, to remember that that decision is not just

0:06:16.220,0:06:21.900 plucked out of the air. That's a decision based upon what's being medically recommended.

0:06:21.900,0:06:24.960 So parents don't have a carte blanche to say "I want this",

0:06:24.960,0:06:30.600 "I want my child to be have their face scarified" "I want to", for example, "chop off their little finger".

0:06:30.600,0:06:33.700 There's no automatic right of parents

0:06:33.700,0:06:38.280 to determine everything about their children. Because, in those circumstances, doctors also have

0:06:38.280,0:06:41.040 a role in terms of safeguarding children.

0:06:41.040,0:06:45.440 So there's a balance going on here, between what parents want for their children

0:06:45.440,0:06:52.640 and what's a safe and reasonable decision for them to make for their child 0:06:52.640,0:06:57.160 Obviously there are certain contexts in this where this really does come up,

0:06:57.160,0:07:04.900 where there's a very large conflict, between normal medical care and what parents want.

0:07:04.900,0:07:09.040 I suppose two of the biggest ones: one of them is is parents, who have a

0:07:09.040,0:07:15.260 Jehovah's Witness belief, who wish to to ensure that their own

0:07:15.260,0:07:20.840 children don't have a blood transfusion, because they don't believe in a blood transfusion,

0:07:20.840,0:07:24.540 even to the point where the child's life might be at risk.

0:07:24.540,0:07:28.980 One of the other main areas, in common practice now,

0:07:28.980,0:07:35.440 is where parents want to perform a ritual cutting of the child's genitals,

0:07:35.450,0:07:41.400 for a religious belief, as well. If I may expand a little bit on the Jehovah's

0:07:41.400,0:07:44.960 Witness side of things. Many of these cases have actually gone to court,

0:07:44.960,0:07:49.470 because, clearly, it's quite a difficult area where children's 0:07:49.470,0:07:53.270 lives are potentially at risk, but the parents beliefs are so strong, and

0:07:53.270,0:07:57.060 naturally, they do want the best for their child, but they believe the best

0:07:57.060,0:08:02.560 for their child is by restricting a blood transfusion.

0:08:02.560,0:08:07.840 And in all these cases that have gone to the courts recently, the courts have upheld,

0:08:07.850,0:08:13.320 actually, that the child's right to appropriate medical care is more

0:08:13.320,0:08:18.930 important. It's actually just so fundamental that the parents can't

0:08:18.930,0:08:22.620 impose their beliefs. It's very different when a person has capacity

0:08:22.620,0:08:27.330 so, for example, a 25 year old person who has a

0:08:27.330,0:08:31.350 life-threatening injury who, perhaps, needs a blood transfusion,

0:08:31.350,0:08:34.960 says "I will not have a blood transfusion under any circumstances".

0:08:34.960,0:08:38.980 It would be absolutely wrong for a doctor to provide that.

0:08:38.980,0:08:43.620 (AL) I think it's safe to say that one of the most contentious areas of the Secular Medical Forum's work, 0:08:43.620,0:08:47.600 is your efforts to challenge non-therapeutic male infant circumcision,

0:08:47.600,0:08:53.240 a practice which is often justified in terms of religious freedom.

0:08:53.240,0:08:56.280 (Dr L) That's right. It's intriguing that it is one of the most

0:08:56.280,0:09:01.920 controversial. because there's no doubt that it is. Even amongst some

0:09:01.930,0:09:08.440 people who are naturally secular. To me, ritual male cutting of the genitals,

0:09:08.440,0:09:12.370 or ritual cutting of any child genitals, gets to the very heart of secularism.

0:09:12.370,0:09:18.860 Because the essence of protecting children from imposed religious belief,

0:09:18.860,0:09:24.120 what could be more fundamental than allowing an individual person the right

0:09:24.120,0:09:31.330 to determine the branding, the markings of their own body. The claims that

0:09:31.330,0:09:40.080 it's a religious 'right' rather than 'rite'

0:09:40.080,0:09:43.600 apply to the parents, the community. In a similar way to the

0:09:43.640,0:09:50.400 Jehovah's Witness story, or to any belief, these beliefs are not formed in infants. 0:09:50.400,0:09:55.810 To actually cut any persons body, to make an irreversible change to a

0:09:55.810,0:10:01.520 person's body, solely on the presumed beliefs of this person, when they're older,

0:10:01.520,0:10:06.060 it's completely opposite to religious freedom, because, as you get

0:10:06.070,0:10:10.480 older, you will form your own beliefs. There are many people who either believe

0:10:10.480,0:10:14.180 something differently, or even choose to believe, both the

0:10:14.180,0:10:19.500 Jewish and Muslim communities practice this on their children. So some

0:10:19.500,0:10:25.120 of them will believe in Judaism or Islam, but will also choose not to be cut or

0:10:25.120,0:10:29.700 not to have their own children's genitals cut.

0:10:29.700,0:10:33.160 These claims of religious freedom miss the point of religious freedom.

0:10:33.160,0:10:37.120 Religious freedom is for the individual. It's not for the community.

0:10:37.120,0:10:42.900 As soon as you start getting onto the territory of community religious freedom, you actually end up in

0:10:42.900,0:10:48.760 a situation where people lose their religious freedom entirely, because the 0:10:48.760,0:10:52.820 community claims ownership of everybody within that community.

0:10:52.820,0:10:57.040 That's precisely what happens with ritual circumcision. Children are cut because of

0:10:57.040,0:11:02.260 the presumed beliefs of the community. (AL) This is a recurring theme in all of

0:11:02.260,0:11:07.960 the interviews: this misuse of religious freedom as being about

0:11:07.960,0:11:12.780 a freedom to impose practices on other people.

0:11:12.780,0:11:16.880 Are there are any other areas of healthcare where this is a particular problem?

0:11:16.880,0:11:23.020 (Dr L) Well, there are many areas where, on a personal level and the community level,

0:11:23.020,0:11:28.400 individual freedoms are restricted by community claims for freedom.

0:11:28.420,0:11:32.460 For example, something in the news quite a lot at the moment

0:11:32.460,0:11:37.420 is the situation in Northern Ireland, where women's right to sexual health

0:11:37.420,0:11:45.460 and abortion are completely restricted compared to the rest of the mainland UK.

0:11:45.460,0:11:51.320 So, less than 10 women were allowed, within the confines of Northern Ireland last year, 0:11:51.320,0:11:54.380 to have an abortion, which is widely recognized as a

0:11:54.399,0:11:59.439 fundamental part of medical care. This is based largely on the

0:11:59.439,0:12:05.740 control on the community by the established religious order.

0:12:05.740,0:12:09.370 Saying that, that's not to blame individual people.

0:12:09.370,0:12:14.040 These are the representatives of the religions and the abuse of power from

0:12:14.060,0:12:18.460 those at the top. Many individual people, whether they believe or don't believe,

0:12:18.460,0:12:22.870 have all sorts of widely varying beliefs. But it's the abuse of power of people

0:12:22.870,0:12:27.250 who assume that everybody has a conformity of belief and they use that

0:12:27.250,0:12:32.660 to restrict other people's rights to to access appropriate health care.

0:12:32.660,0:12:37.260 (AL) Obviously, any advocate of religious freedom would have to say that people

0:12:37.260,0:12:44.940 should be able to advocate and preach against, and disagree with abortion

0:12:44.940,0:12:50.259 But to use a claim of religious freedom, to deny someone a 0:12:50.259,0:12:55.420 particular form of health care, seems really to misunderstand the

0:12:55.420,0:12:58.809 purpose of religious freedom. (Dr L) Yes. Of course everybody should be

0:12:58.809,0:13:03.790 allowed to have an open dialogue and a debate, but they shouldn't have the

0:13:03.790,0:13:07.140 power to trump other people's views. Because this is what's happening over

0:13:07.280,0:13:11.800 and over again. People say "Well, my beliefs are so strong that I must make

0:13:11.800,0:13:16.660 sure that these are enforced". Whereas, on the other side people who ,for

0:13:16.660,0:13:22.460 example in another area of abortion,

0:13:22.460,0:13:26.000 nobody goes to seek an abortion because they think "Oh, that's what I'll go and do today.

0:13:26.000,0:13:29.560 It's a nice sunny day". They've given it an enormous amount of thought.

0:13:29.560,0:13:33.170 But, equally, none of them will go up to somebody else who's not having one

0:13:33.170,0:13:36.100 and say "How dare you not have an abortion".

0:13:36.100,0:13:41.860 There is respect and it's often going unfortunately just in the one direction. 0:13:41.860,0:13:47.720 People who advocate for individual freedoms mean it on both sides.

0:13:47.720,0:13:51.000 So, from my perspective, the Secular Medical Forum perspective,

0:13:51.000,0:13:55.300 it's about allowing people to make those reasonable choices, not forcing anybody

0:13:55.300,0:14:00.160 into choosing one way or the other, whether to have one whether or not to.

0:14:00.160,0:14:04.240 It's a big decision, and women who have got to that point to make that decision.

0:14:04.240,0:14:06.700 have usually given it an enormous amount of thought before

0:14:06.700,0:14:11.500 they've got there. They should be allowed to access that that reasonable care.

0:14:11.500,0:14:15.220 (AL) What do you think can be done to help health care professionals

0:14:15.230,0:14:19.940 recognize and maintain appropriate professional boundaries. so that they are

0:14:19.940,0:14:24.490 respecting others religious freedom but not imposing their own religious views?

0:14:24.490,0:14:29.470 (Dr L) Well, in truth, much of the guidance around this area already exists.

0:14:29.470,0:14:34.340 I suppose the main thing is to ensure that the regulators themselves 0:14:34.340,0:14:39.080 don't make exceptions in this area. For example, General Medical Council,

0:14:39.080,0:14:43.970 which regulates doctors in the UK, provides guidance already, and actually

0:14:43.970,0:14:49.339 gives explicit guidance on personal belief and tells

0:14:49.339,0:14:52.400 doctors, very clearly, that we must respect those boundaries. You mustn't

0:14:52.400,0:14:56.990 impose our beliefs on our patients, and we must do everything we can to ensure

0:14:56.990,0:15:01.520 that patients have care that's in accordance with their own personal beliefs.

0:15:01.520,0:15:05.180 The real problem comes when people just ignore that for

0:15:05.180,0:15:09.529 special pleading cases of religion. Again, I come back to the circumcision,

0:15:09.529,0:15:14.240 where the GMC guidance is that they clearly circumvent its own guidance in this

0:15:14.240,0:15:20.000 area, by jumping through hoops to ensure that doctors can ignore

0:15:20.000,0:15:24.470 the fact that children can't make up their own mind, and children

0:15:24.470,0:15:29.480 are intrinsically vulnerable But on the whole the 0:15:29.480,0:15:33.700 guidance is there already and it's very clear that doctors should not

0:15:33.700,0:15:38.380 be imposing our own personal beliefs on our patients. And, to be fair, most of us don't.

0:15:38.380,0:15:42.180 It's only a very small proportion of very religious doctors

0:15:42.199,0:15:48.199 from certain sections that do try to do this. (AL) I've never been a healthcare

0:15:48.199,0:15:53.749 professional, but I worked on a helpline with young people, where we

0:15:53.749,0:15:58.040 had very clear guidance on not inserting our own beliefs. People may have been

0:15:58.040,0:16:01.670 motivated by their personal beliefs to give up at a time and volunteer at the

0:16:01.670,0:16:05.989 service, but we had very clear guidance about not

0:16:05.989,0:16:10.970 bringing our personal views in. I don't think anyone ever felt that that

0:16:10.970,0:16:15.920 was violating their freedom of speech or their religious freedom.

0:16:15.920,0:16:19.900 (Dr L) No. It's about being responsible. If you're walking down the street and you get

0:16:19.910,0:16:23.600 engaged in conversation, then you have freedom of speech to say what you want.

0:16:23.600,0:16:28.309 But if you choose a role where you're providing, certainly for medical

0:16:28.309,0:16:31.790 professionals or other healthcare professionals, you've actively chosen

0:16:31.790,0:16:36.739 that role, and you have a responsibility to go with that role. It's very similar

0:16:36.739,0:16:39.949 to a teacher not turning up in school and banging on about their own political

0:16:39.949,0:16:43.759 beliefs and and teaching children that's the only one. That would be an abuse of

0:16:43.760,0:16:47.720 power in a very similar way. I think most people recognize that,

0:16:47.720,0:16:52.300 but a few people, unfortunately, feel so strongly about their own beliefs, that they

0:16:52.309,0:16:56.560 think that the greater good means that they must impose their belief, because

0:16:56.569,0:16:59.269 they're saving people, or they're preventing people from doing

0:16:59.269,0:17:03.949 something so much worse. They actively avoid following the guidance,

0:17:03.949,0:17:10.069 and there have been a few cases of that recently. (AL) I think another complicated, or

0:17:10.069,0:17:13.789

potentially complicated, area of the intersection of religious freedom and

0:17:13.789,0:17:17.860 healthcare is around conscientious objection.

0:17:17.860,0:17:26.080 Obviously we can all say we wouldn't want someone to be forced to do, or to provide, a service,

0:17:26.100,0:17:30.900 which goes against their conscience. But if you've opted into

0:17:30.900,0:17:35.880 being a health care professional, what are the limits, or what should the limits be,

0:17:35.880,0:17:40.220 on your conscientious objection, your ability to say "I don't

0:17:40.220,0:17:44.059 want to do this particular thing, or this particular part of my jo,b or serve this

0:17:44.059,0:17:49.130 particular type of person? (Dr L) I think we'd all recognize that people go into

0:17:49.130,0:17:54.040 health care for a variety of reasons, and everybody has their own personal conscience.

0:17:54.040,0:18:00.820 Where possible, obviously, we want - it's kind, on each other and on patients -

0:18:00.820,0:18:02.780 to make sure that health care professionals

0:18:02.780,0:18:06.520 aren't pushed into a position where they feel they're doing something completely 0:18:06.520,0:18:09.720 against their own beliefs. For this reason I would completely agree

0:18:09.720,0:18:16.020 that medical students in their training, shouldn't be forced to participate in an

0:18:16.020,0:18:20.240 abortion procedure, for example, but should be given the choice to opt out.

0:18:20.280,0:18:25.040 On the other hand, once that person is qualified, they have a responsibility not

0:18:25.050,0:18:30.240 to choose an area that's going to bring them in direct conflict with the

0:18:30.240,0:18:33.660 delivery of necessary healthcare for other people.

0:18:33.780,0:18:40.820 This has happened, where people deliberately choose a role, so that they

0:18:40.830,0:18:44.460 can obstruct the delivery of healthcare. And, unfortunately, we keep coming back to

0:18:44.460,0:18:49.560 sexual health, because many of these issues are not driven by

0:18:49.560,0:18:54.180 secularism, they're driven by religious concerns. Religious concerns seem to

0:18:54.180,0:18:59.510 be centered around personal sexual freedoms, such as sexual health,

0:18:59.510,0:19:03.930 homosexuality, cutting of children's genitals. A lot of them revolve around 0:19:03.930,0:19:10.200 their obsession with other people's sexuality. In law there are

0:19:10.200,0:19:14.780 a couple of areas where doctors are allowed to conscientiouly object.

0:19:14.780,0:19:17.520 One of them is in the delivery of abortion. The other one

0:19:17.520,0:19:22.710 is in the Human Fertilization Embryology Authority regulations. Most of us

0:19:22.710,0:19:26.480 think these are quite reasonable, but should not be extended.

0:19:26.480,0:19:30.680 Unfortunately, there have been moves from some religious people, and

0:19:30.680,0:19:34.460 there's certainly a bill going through the Lord's at the moment, from

0:19:34.460,0:19:40.380 Baroness O'Loan called the Conscientious Objections (Medical Activities) Bill,

0:19:40.380,0:19:43.140 that would really open the floodgates to allow

0:19:43.140,0:19:47.120 healthcare professionals to say "Oh! I'm not going to do this because it's against my belief".

0:19:47.120,0:19:50.120 And that would be disastrous for the delivery of healthcare,

0:19:50.120,0:19:57.940 because it's not about where individual healthcare professionals are delivering the care, 0:19:57.940,0:20:02.600 but it's about whether the institution itself can deliver the care,

0:20:02.600,0:20:05.780 and where the care can be delivered by other people, reasonably, without putting

0:20:05.790,0:20:10.380 undue pressure on the service, that's fine. But where patients risk

0:20:10.380,0:20:16.350 not receiving the care that they need, that becomes a big problem and that

0:20:16.350,0:20:20.070 becomes the responsibility of the health care professionals. And if I may just say,

0:20:20.070,0:20:26.700 about two years ago, the General Pharmaceutical Council updated its own

0:20:26.700,0:20:31.760 guidance on this, and they they took a very brave stand. They initially thought

0:20:31.760,0:20:36.210 that the beliefs of the pharmacist, who didn't want to

0:20:36.210,0:20:41.020 prescribe emergency contraception, for example, and the patient, should be balanced.

0:20:41.020,0:20:45.500 And following some challenge, they actually completely backtracked.

0:20:45.500,0:20:48.870 They finally recognized, and they updated their guidance

0:20:48.870,0:20:54.030 to say: the balance must be shifted in favor of the patient and,

0:20:54.030,0:20:57.890 if the prescription has been written, it must be delivered to the patient,

0:20:57.890,0:21:02.700 irrespective of the individual beliefs of that pharmacist, who really has a

0:21:02.700,0:21:07.440 responsibility to choose to work somewhere that's not going to cause a problem to patients.

0:21:07.440,0:21:13.460 (AL) If someone were an ethical vegan, we would respect that life stance,

0:21:13.460,0:21:17.560 but then they probably wouldn't be able to get a job in that in an abattoir.

0:21:17.560,0:21:21.560 (Dr L) Precisely. (AL) Are there any other areas where a mistaken

0:21:21.570,0:21:26.970 understanding of religious freedom is leading to religion being imposed in

0:21:26.970,0:21:32.150 health care in an inappropriate way? (Dr L) Well, going back in the past, certainly

0:21:32.150,0:21:38.400 the biblical condemnation of homosexuality led to homosexuality being

0:21:38.400,0:21:44.600 regarded as an actual psychiatric illness. Not too far in the distant past,

0:21:44.600,0:21:47.340 and certainly in the living memory of many people now, 0:21:47.340,0:21:52.500 people were offered the awful choice between electro-convulsive therapy, a shockwave treatment

0:21:52.500,0:21:58.740 to the brain, or jail for their supposed illness. Equally, in modern times

0:21:58.740,0:22:07.240 gay conversion therapy is still being offered as a therapy. It doesn't deserve the word "therapy".

0:22:07.260,0:22:13.900 There is absolutely no evidence whatsoever that people's sexuality can be changed.

0:22:13.900,0:22:19.600 And, in fact, this leads to awful situations where people brought up

0:22:19.600,0:22:24.760 in these communities, aren't able to to be open with their friends and

0:22:24.760,0:22:30.400 relatives. And then it causes serious mental health difficulties with them.

0:22:30.400,0:22:34.840 Of course here you can interpret that two ways, but quite often those who

0:22:34.840,0:22:39.480 are against homosexuality say "Look these people are mentally ill".

0:22:39.480,0:22:43.840 Well, yes, if you're in a community which doesn't accept you, which actually

0:22:43.840,0:22:49.000 actively rejects you, it will affect your mental health, certainly, adversely. 0:22:49.040,0:22:53.300 And the gay conversion therapy covers a wide spectrum.

0:22:53.309,0:22:57.730 but some of it is really quite sinister. If you believe strongly enough

0:22:57.730,0:23:04.700 that people are absolutely wrong, and must have their sexuality changed,

0:23:04.700,0:23:08.460 people will go to extraordinary lengths to change it. We've heard stories that

0:23:08.460,0:23:14.900 people have been forced into starvation regimes, even forced rapes.

0:23:14.900,0:23:18.160 It really is quite awful what happens to some people from some of

0:23:18.160,0:23:20.720 these communities. And, of course, these are some of the most vulnerable,

0:23:20.720,0:23:25.320 so they're teenagers discovering their sexuality and it's the

0:23:25.320,0:23:28.680 people they trust and love the most who are doing this to them. And they're doing

0:23:28.690,0:23:35.350 it out of a mistaken sense of religious freedom. Other areas, which sometimes

0:23:35.350,0:23:39.020 don't get touched on, and which are slightly more than the edges of secularism,

0:23:39.020,0:23:43.570 things like assisted dying. Now, I think, because people could 0:23:43.570,0:23:47.540 have views for and against assisted dying, on both sides of the religious

0:23:47.560,0:23:52.320 spectrum, some people often assume this doesn't have much bearing on secularism.

0:23:52.320,0:23:58.240 Whereas, in fact, much of the push against allowing people the right to make their own decision

0:23:58.240,0:24:03.060 at the end of life, that their life is intolerable and there's no reasonable prospect of improvement,

0:24:03.060,0:24:07.500 most of those people pushing against that decision are religious.

0:24:07.500,0:24:12.320 Twenty years, or so, ago they used to declare their religion, and say life is sacred.

0:24:12.320,0:24:17.679 Nowadays, they recognize that society is changing and using those words will

0:24:17.680,0:24:23.540 not get them very far, so we hear the same people using so-called secular arguments,

0:24:23.540,0:24:27.800 that people are vulnerable, and that we don't want to be killing people.

0:24:27.800,0:24:31.540 And, of course, people are entitled to those views if they wish,

0:24:31.540,0:24:37.220 but the medical profession within the UK has been severely influenced by people 0:24:37.220,0:24:43.440 with these strong views, many of whom are quite covert about their affiliations

0:24:43.440,0:24:47.680 with the religious organizations that they they adhere to.

0:24:47.760,0:24:53.000 So, I think that's a real issue, and I think it's one of the area's that's quite

0:24:53.000,0:24:57.239 difficult to challenge on a religious basis, because the people are

0:24:57.240,0:25:06.200 not openly talking about their religion. (AL) Someone's personal religious worldview

0:25:06.200,0:25:13.920 could include the idea that they should be able to control their own life and how it might end as well.

0:25:13.920,0:25:19.640 So their religious views, which actually do affect them, themselves, could potentially be restricted.

0:25:19.640,0:25:22.420 (Dr L) Well, that goes with every single one of these religious freedoms.

0:25:22.420,0:25:25.100 The bottom line is, if somebody else is imposing their religion

0:25:25.109,0:25:28.820 on someone else, they are necessarily restricting that other person's

0:25:28.820,0:25:33.659 opportunity of choice to choose their own beliefs. And that's always the case.

0:25:33.660,0:25:38.220

And that's why it has to be an individual personal freedom.

0:25:38.220,0:25:43.700 (AL) I know we've already discussed nontherapeutic male circumcision, but before you go can I

0:25:43.700,0:25:47.700 just ask for your thoughts on the newly published guidance for doctors from the

0:25:47.700,0:25:51.100 British Medical Association? (Dr L) Yeah. You can have my thoughts

0:25:51.120,0:25:57.960 but it's a disaster zone to be perfectly honest. The British Medical Association is the

0:25:57.960,0:26:01.200 trade union for doctors, and the professional body for doctors, and

0:26:01.200,0:26:07.220 provides guidance. The updated toolkit for doctors explicitly says that

0:26:07.220,0:26:12.280 it didn't have access to all the evidence, and it's not an ethical document.

0:26:12.280,0:26:16.520 Unfortunately, they didn't stop it from making ethical statements and

0:26:16.529,0:26:21.929 making scientific assertions. Many of the points made within this document

0:26:21.929,0:26:27.720 contradict themselves. So it's actually an incoherent document.

0:26:27.780,0:26:32.720 For example, the BMAs new guidance helpfully notes that in the recent cases of a dispute 0:26:32.720,0:26:37.420 over whether non-therapeutic circumcision was in the best interest of a child lacking competence,

0:26:37.420,0:26:41.560 the courts have ruled that it was not in the child's best interests,

0:26:41.560,0:26:46.260 and that children's best interest should best be served by waiting for them to make up their own mind.

0:26:46.380,0:26:52.800 So they note that, but despite this, the BMAs updated toolkit recommends that doctors are

0:26:52.800,0:26:56.210 asked to remove the child's healthy foreskin, make a best interest

0:26:56.210,0:27:02.060 consideration, that's almost exclusively reliant on parental beliefs.

0:27:02.060,0:27:08.460 And this completely subverts normal medical principles. The new guidance is a

0:27:08.460,0:27:12.090 completely lost opportunity to remind doctors that the cutting of any child's

0:27:12.090,0:27:18.320 healthy body, for no medical reason, is simply not in the child's best interest.

0:27:18.320,0:27:23.640 It makes extraordinary assertions such as circumcision does not need

0:27:23.640,0:27:28.460 to be performed by a doctor. So the BMA is actually saying there's no need, 0:27:28.500,0:27:32.900 as there would be for any other invasive surgery, for a sterile hygienic

0:27:32.910,0:27:37.120 environment, and a competent trained surgeon who's gained appropriate consent.

0:27:37.130,0:27:42.180 It's difficult to overstate just how different this is from any other piece

0:27:42.180,0:27:46.680 of medical guidance that's given, and it's difficult to escape the fact that

0:27:46.680,0:27:53.160 the BMA has actively walked a tightrope and straddled the tightrope, really, trying

0:27:53.160,0:28:01.640 not to offend religious bodies, and trying not to understand that

0:28:01.640,0:28:05.600 actually cutting a healthy functional sensitive erogenous private part

0:28:05.600,0:28:09.040 of someone else's body, goes against every single grain

0:28:09.040,0:28:14.900 of what being a doctor involves. (AL) Anthony, thank you so much for your time today.

0:28:14.900,0:28:20.600 Before you go, we always like to ask our guests if they've got any recommendations.

0:28:20.600,0:28:25.840 So are any books or films which you think do a good job of exploring religious freedom

0:28:25.840,0:28:31.280 that you'd like to recommend? (Dr L) Sure. Well, firstly I think the book

0:28:31.280,0:28:35.080 God is Not Great by Christopher Hitchens is a stunningly good book

0:28:35.080,0:28:37.400 which highlights the contradictions and harms

0:28:37.400,0:28:42.660 of many religions while stating one thing and doing another thing.

0:28:42.660,0:28:46.840 I'm going to go a little bit sideways here, because one of the things

0:28:46.840,0:28:51.260 about religious freedom is that people often talk about how it's a special case.

0:28:51.260,0:28:56.940 And I don't think it is. Some of my other choices really shine a light

0:28:56.940,0:29:00.040 on the collective madness that often takes over whole communities,

0:29:00.040,0:29:02.620 when people try to speak out with an individual voice.

0:29:02.620,0:29:07.820 So Catch 22 is one of my all-time favorites and just the complete madness of

0:29:07.820,0:29:10.980 "They're trying to kill me". Yes they are. It's a war.

0:29:10.980,0:29:19.500 1984, removing the language of change, that works towards preventing people from escaping from the

0:29:19.500,0:29:24.740 status quo. That's so common in very strong religious communities.

0:29:24.740,0:29:28.740 And, from the point of view of film, one of the films that really struck me many

0:29:28.740,0:29:34.680 years ago, was actually on a kibbutz, as a student, and I was shown the film The Wave,

0:29:34.680,0:29:39.960 which was all about the classroom experiment in America,

0:29:39.960,0:29:46.250 and how the community stopped people speaking out, and it became more and more

0:29:46.250,0:29:51.020 violent and vicious against people who just wanted to share their own personal

0:29:51.020,0:29:56.250 views and who wanted to challenge the perceived collective orthodoxy of the

0:29:56.250,0:29:59.800 day, which in the film was the way... (AL) It's a true story, isn't it?

0:29:59.840,0:30:05.220 (Dr L) It is a true story, and it was actually a story that was to highlight the dangers of the

0:30:05.220,0:30:09.750 Holocaust, and to highlight the dangers of people not being allowed to have

0:30:09.750,0:30:13.980 their own personal views, because that's when these harms happen to other people,

0:30:13.980,0:30:18.880 when the individual voice is lost to the collective. (AL) Okay. Well we're share links 0:30:18.880,0:30:23.400 to all of those in the show notes. Thank you so much your time, again.

0:30:23.400,0:30:29.040 (Dr L) Thanks Alastair (AL) It's always a pleasure to speak with Dr. Lembert

0:30:29.040,0:30:33.220 and he's actually speaking at a health care conference in Belfast on 20th March,

0:30:33.220,0:30:36.480 and I'm over at the same time to learn more about efforts to

0:30:36.480,0:30:40.800 integrate Northern Ireland's deeply sectarian education system.

0:30:40.800,0:30:47.220 So we'll be organizing an NSS social on the evening of the 20th. Please message me if you're interested.

0:30:47.220,0:30:51.420 If you're getting this podcast on YouTube or you feel like visiting,

0:30:51.420,0:30:56.280 you may want to check out our playlist from the 2018 Healthcare and Secularism Conference,

0:30:56.280,0:31:00.160 which covers many of the issues we've discussed today in more depth.

0:31:00.160,0:31:04.540 Speaking of conferences, there's just over two months to go till Secularism 2019,

0:31:04.540,0:31:08.140 our major conference on the 18th May at the Tower Hotel. 0:31:08.140,0:31:11.739 Our lineup of speakers include Rachel Laser CEO with

0:31:11.740,0:31:17.280 Americans United for Separation of Church and State, who I spoke to in episode 2 of the podcast,

0:31:17.280,0:31:22.360 Medical Ethicist Dr Brian Earp, who I'll be speaking to in a future episode,

0:31:22.360,0:31:27.220 and Dr Ahmed Shaheed, Special Rapporteur on freedom of religion or belief,

0:31:27.220,0:31:30.840 the United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights.

0:31:30.840,0:31:32.919 You can find out more about the conference and

0:31:32.920,0:31:37.940 book your tickets at secularism.org.uk/2019

0:31:37.940,0:31:42.460 The conference includes a drinks reception and the award of our 2019 prize for

0:31:42.460,0:31:46.660 Secularist of the Year. So it really is fantastic value at just £50

0:31:46.700,0:31:52.360 and even better value for our members. Links to the books Dr Lempert mentioned will be in the show notes

0:31:52.360,0:31:56.280 And if you want to learn more about how the NSS and SMF work together 0:31:56.280,0:31:59.520 to protect freedom of and from religion in health care

0:31:59.600,0:32:08.609 please visit secularism.org.uk/healthcare Until next time, goodbye