The medical profession should protect all children from unnecessary genital cutting

Posted: Thu, 5th Dec 2019 by Dr Antony Lempert

Doctors in Britain should follow the lead of those who have declared ritual male circumcision a breach of medical ethics, argues Dr Antony Lempert.

Whilst sex discrimination has been reduced in many areas of medicine and society, a UK child's protection from medically unnecessary irreversible surgical procedures on his healthy genitals still depends on perceived gender.

In 2013, the United Nations committee on the rights of the child <u>categorised</u> ritual circumcision as 'violence against children'. Meanwhile the parliamentary assembly of the Council of Europe <u>expressed</u> concerns about "a category of violation of the physical integrity of children, which supporters of the procedures tend to present as beneficial... despite clear evidence to the contrary", naming "the circumcision of young boys for religious reasons" as one such violation.

In 2015 Sir James Munby, then president of the family division of the High Court, <u>noted</u> that some forms of FGM were less severe than the usual form of male circumcision, describing all as constituting "significant harm" as defined by the Children Act.

Guidance from the UK medical regulatory body, the <u>General Medical Council</u>, and more recently the main UK doctors' trade union and professional body, the <u>British Medical Association</u>, endorses the double standard. Regardless of the actual harm caused, these organisations say no-one should cut a girl's genitals – but for boys the emphasis shifts to his 'best interests'. And here's the rub.

Unlike girls, boys' best interests may apparently include acquiescing to parental wishes to imprint their own strong religio-cultural beliefs onto his genitals before he can form his own beliefs, experience sex with intact genitals or withhold consent. Both organisations have been informed that many men feel harmed, and some boys have suffered irreparable penile damage and even death. They know that there are growing <u>movements</u> from within the cutting communities advocating peaceful alternatives.

Regrettably, neither organisation has followed the lead of the <u>Danish Medical Association</u>, the combined <u>Dutch medical community</u>, and all the <u>Scandinavian ombudsmen</u> in declaring ritual male circumcision a fundamental breach of medical ethics that should be deferred until the person himself has the capacity to give or withhold consent.

Non-therapeutic genital cutting of non-consenting children should all be treated as a significant breach of good medical practice when performed by doctors and as a serious safeguarding concern when performed by lay people – regardless of the perceived gender of the person affected.

This is a lightly edited version of a letter which was first published in the British Journal of General Practice. It is reproduced here with kind permission. It was initially posted in response to <u>another</u> <u>article</u> which argued that non-medical circumcision infringes children's human right to decide.

Image by ymkaaa from Pixabay.

Discuss on Facebook

Dr Antony Lempert

Dr Antony Lempert is chair of the NSS's Secular Medical Forum. The views expressed in our blogs are those of the author and may not necessarily represent the views of the NSS. You can follow him on Twitter @seculant

Election 2019: pledges that should be in the parties' manifestos

These policy proposals would promote a freer, fairer society where everyone has common rights and responsibilities regardless of religion or belief.

- Find out more
- Share now
- Share on What's App
- Share on Facebook
- Share on Twitter
- Share on Email
- Subscribe to RSS Feed

Tags: Genital cutting

Related Campaigns

End forced genital cutting

No child should be subjected to unnecessary genital cutting.

Read More

Related Articles

NSS address urges UN to call for government action on human rights

Religious privilege is undermining rights of UK citizens, NSS tells UN committee. Read More »

Protect human rights from religious imposition, NSS urges UN

NSS tells UN Human Rights Committee religious privilege is undermining rights in education, healthcare and around free speech. <u>Read More »</u>

Religion should not be a roadblock to child safeguarding in healthcare

A new paper highlights barriers to reforming guidance on non-therapeutic circumcision. The author, Dr Antony Lempert, explains why religion is one of the biggest barriers of all. <u>Read More »</u>

NSS defend mayor ousted for questioning religious circumcision

The National Secular Society has teamed up with a men's health charity to raise concerns after a mayor was ousted... Read More »

NSS speaks out at pro-genital autonomy event

The National Secular Society has called for an end to all forms of non-consensual religious genital cutting at the... Read More »