

# NSS welcomes guidance which promotes free speech at universities

Posted: Fri, 15th Feb 2019

The National Secular Society has welcomed new [guidance](#) from the Equality and Human Rights Commission (ECHR) which aims to ensure universities "remain a forum for open and robust enquiry".

The guidance defends students' right to "express and receive views and opinions", including those that "may offend, shock or disturb others". It comes after a report from the Joint Committee on Human Rights (JCHR) expressed concerns about restrictions on free speech in higher education, including on those who criticise religion.

The guidance says universities should have a code setting out their policies and procedures relating to external speakers and make sure their procedures do not create unnecessary barriers to free speech. They also need to make sure all students are aware of the code.

It adds that the "starting point" should be that any event can go ahead, although higher education providers must consider all their legal duties carefully. It notes that there are some circumstances where UK law limits the right to freedom of expression – for example to protect national security or prevent crime.

When students' unions cancel speakers whose speech would be lawful, the guidance tells universities to take "reasonably practicable steps to ensure the speaker event can proceed".

The guidance reiterates most higher education providers' legal duty to protect freedom of expression and the importance of students' unions promoting free speech in order to meet their obligations as charitable bodies.

David Isaac, chair of the ECHR, said: "The free expression and exchange of different views without persecution or interference goes straight to the heart of our democracy and is a vital part of higher education.

"Holding open, challenging debates rather than silencing the views of those we don't agree with helps to build tolerance and address prejudice and discrimination."

The guidance provides information on the legal basis of often misunderstood terms and echoes recommendations from the JCHR – supported by the NSS – including:

- That peaceful protests should be protected, but that universities have a legal responsibility to take steps to ensure these do not stray into violence, harassment or intimidation intended to shut down events. Universities can take disciplinary action against those over stepping the bounds of legitimate protest.
- Creating a specific 'safe space' or rules governing conduct at specific societies or events, should not be extended in a "blanket manner" to regulate speech across campus.
- Decisions and policies over whether to affiliate student groups should be "well reasoned and justifiable".

In 2018 a JCHR report [said](#) "confusion and complexity" were undermining universities' duty to protect free speech. It also found that "incidents in which free speech is restricted often revolve around discussion of key controversial or divisive issues" including "humanist/secular groups critiquing religion".

It added that some reported restrictions on free speech were exaggerated or misleading.

In a submission to the JCHR's inquiry on the issue in 2017, the NSS [said](#) universities should promote free expression "not only as a legal concept, but as a positive value".

The report led to a "free speech summit" in May 2018 where leaders in the university sector agreed to create the new guidance. It was produced by the Equality and Human Rights Commission, with input from the National Union of Students, Universities UK, The Office for Students and others.

NSS head of education Alastair Lichen welcomed the guidance.

"Groups critical of religion on campuses have faced specific challenge when university or student officials conflate this with discrimination against religious people. Such cases are exceptions to the rule, but they should prompt concern.

"This guidance will act as a welcome reminder that the promotion of free expression and robust debate is a crucial part of a university's role. It also rightly acknowledges that universities have other responsibilities and should help to clear up some of the myths that have gained traction in the sometimes overheated debate about free speech on campus.

"We all have an interest in ensuring free and lively expression is protected on campuses. Official student or university officials who overstep the mark to silence 'offence', and commentators with an axe to grind who present every anti-harassment policy or protest as Orwellian thought policing, are the fringes and shouldn't be dominating this debate."

In response to the EHRC's guidance some commentators raised concerns about a case study in the EHRC's guidance which suggested an atheist group should be required to invite a range of speakers if religious students objected. Mr Lichten called the example "well-intentioned but poorly worded".

"A debate on the existence of gods would by definition have a range of views. But it would be ludicrous to suggest an atheist group couldn't invite a speaker to discuss their views on the non-existence of gods or the ethical implications therein, without that particular debate. Atheists don't have a free speech right to reply at every church service.

"However, the clear intention of the case study was to support the free speech of atheist groups and anyone who wishes to peacefully protest or argue against that."

## While you're here

Our news and opinion content is an important part of our campaigns work. Many articles involve a lot of research by our campaigns team. If you value this output, please consider supporting us today.

- [Support us from just £1 a month](#)

- [Share on What's App](#)
- [Share on Facebook](#)
- [Share on Twitter](#)
- [Share on Email](#)
- [Subscribe to RSS Feed](#)

Tags: [Free speech](#)

## Related Campaigns

- 

### [Protect freedom of expression](#)

We promote free speech as a positive value.

[Read More](#)

## Related Articles

### [We must empower secular schools to assert their ethos](#)

When Islamists tried to bully Michaela Community School, the school refused to back down. Other schools have not been so successful in challenging religious intimidation. Megan Manson explores what made Michaela different. [Read More »](#)

### [NSS announces major conference on protecting liberal values](#)

Kenan Malik, Joan Smith and more speaking at NSS Secularism 2024 conference in London. [Read More »](#)

### [NSS hosts talk with author of Annie Besant book](#)

Renowned author Michael Meyer discusses 'A Dirty, Filthy Book' in online event. [Read More »](#)

### [Three years on, the lessons of Batley are yet to be learned](#)

The Batley affair exposed the Islamist threat to UK schools. We're still yet to come to terms with its implications, says Jack Rivington. [Read More »](#)

## **Response unit needed to tackle blasphemy flashpoints, report says**

Recommendations echo NSS calls for more support for schools facing religious intimidation and threats. [Read More »](#)