# ECHR: Lithuania infringed free expression over religious icons

Posted: Thu, 1st Feb 2018

The European Court of Human Rights has <u>ruled</u> that Lithuania violated the right to free expression by fining a fashion company for using religious imagery in an advertising campaign.

Lithuania's Government fined Sekmadienis 580€ over adverts which featured models resembling the virgin Mary and Jesus in suggestive poses in 2012. Its State Consumer Rights Protection Authority (SCRPA) claimed that the ads – which contained captions such as "Jesus, what trousers!" and "Jesus and Mary, what are you wearing?" – were contrary to public morals.

SCRPA reached its decision after consulting representatives from a Lithuanian advertising agency, the State Inspectorate of Non-Food Products and the Roman Catholic Church.

On Tuesday the court found that Lithuania had violated Article 10 (freedom of expression) of the European Convention on Human Rights. It noted that freedom of expression "extends to ideas which offend, shock or disturb" and that in a pluralistic democracy "those who choose to exercise the freedom to manifest their religion cannot reasonably expect to be exempt from all criticism".

The court ordered Lithuania to award Sekmadienis 580€, the equivalent of the original fine it had been wrongly ordered to pay. It also criticised Lithuania for its lack of clarity in justifying how Sekmadienis's advertising campaign was offensive or incompatible with public morals.

The seven-judge panel added: "In the court's view, it cannot be assumed that everyone who has indicated that he or she belongs to the Christian faith would necessarily consider the advertisements offensive, and the Government have not provided any evidence to the contrary.

"Nonetheless, even assuming that the majority of the Lithuanian population were indeed to find the advertisements offensive, the court reiterates that it would be incompatible with the underlying values of the convention if the exercise of convention rights by a minority group were made conditional on its being accepted by the majority.

"Were this so, a minority group's rights to freedom of expression would become merely theoretical rather than practical and effective as required by the convention."

Sekmadienis took Lithuania to the European Court of Human Rights in 2014, after its appeals in the national courts failed.

Discuss this story on Facebook

## While you're here

Our news and opinion content is an important part of our campaigns work. Many articles involve a lot of research by our campaigns team. If you value this output, please consider supporting us

#### today.

- Support us from just £1 a month
- Share on What's App
- Share on Facebook
- Share on Twitter
- Share on Email
- Subscribe to RSS Feed

Tags: Free speech

#### **Related Campaigns**

•

#### **Protect freedom of expression**

We promote free speech as a positive value.

**Read More** 

#### **Related Articles**

## We must empower secular schools to assert their ethos

When Islamists tried to bully Michaela Community School, the school refused to back down. Other schools have not been so successful in challenging religious intimidation. Megan Manson explores what made Michaela different. Read More »

# NSS announces major conference on protecting liberal values

Kenan Malik, Joan Smith and more speaking at NSS Secularism 2024 conference in London. Read More »

### NSS hosts talk with author of Annie Besant book

Renowned author Michael Meyer discusses 'A Dirty, Filthy Book' in online event. Read More »

# Three years on, the lessons of Batley are yet to be learned

The Batley affair exposed the Islamist threat to UK schools. We're still yet to come to terms with its implications, says Jack Rivington. Read More »

# Response unit needed to tackle blasphemy flashpoints, report says

Recommendations echo NSS calls for more support for schools facing religious intimidation and threats. Read More  $^{\mathsf{w}}$