

Extreme, coercive and controlling practices

This page is for documenting examples of extreme, coercive and controlling practices, where a minority of faith schools use their position to exert control over pupil and family lives, which we don't cover elsewhere.

These practices are more common in the registered independent and unregistered (illegal) faith school sectors. For more information see those dedicated campaign pages:

- [Holding independent faith schools accountable](#)
- [End unregistered \(illegal\) faith schools](#)

Examples

This is not an exhaustive list, but serves to draw attention to examples which are often not included in our regular reporting.

- [Jewish school may place requirements on families, adjudicator rules \(August 2018\)](#)
A Jewish state school is not breaching the school admissions code by requiring families not to wear leather or lycra, access the internet or use online entertainment, an adjudicator has ruled.
- [Beis Chinuch Lebonos Girls School \(June 2019\)](#)
An extreme IT policy shows how some independent school seek to restrict pupils and families.
- [NSS calls for investigation of Orthodox Jewish schools after driving ban on mothers \(May 2015\)](#)
The National Secular Society has called on the Department for Education to investigate two independent Orthodox Jewish schools following demands that pupils be barred from school if dropped off by mothers after a driving 'driving ban' was imposed on women by school leaders.