

# BBC poll shows that religious people give more to charity than non-religious. Maybe...

Posted: Sun, 8th Jun 2014 by [Terry Sanderson](#)

Here we go again – the BBC has commissioned a survey that apparently shows that religious people are more likely to give to charity than non-religious people.

If you look at the [results](#), you see that the difference in charitable giving between believers and non-believers is not that big. The headline results state:

*"Three quarters of people in living in England who practise a religion (77%) have given to charity in the past month. This compares to only two thirds of English people who do not practise a religion (67%)."*

What the poll does not tell us is what the religious people donated their money to.

This is important because a [similar poll in America](#) ran with the headline that the Southern States of the USA (the ones shown to be most religious) gave significantly more to charity than the Northern States (least religious). But when you took out the donations given directly to churches rather than to humanitarian charities, the figures reversed. The Southern States were donating vast amounts to their churches, most of which was spent directly on church activities such as building maintenance, salaries and proselytising. The Northern States were donating to *real* charity that directly helped people in need.

In this country, every donation made to a church counts as charity and is presumably included in these latest figures and will benefit from tax relief that will be provided by us all, believer and non-believer alike.

However, I suspect that this poll will be grabbed by some as implicit proof that religion makes people "better" or more compassionate. Non-religious people, some religious leaders will rush to explain, have no reason to be sympathetic to the plight of others. Nor are they compelled by the religious injunctions that guide believers. Which, in turn, leads to the conclusion that atheists have no real moral compass.

The only trouble with this reasoning is that it isn't true.

I'm really sorry to have to even make this argument – it shouldn't matter who gives what to charity or what their motives are - but given that the BBC has decided to make an issue of it, here we go:

Every year, *Forbes* magazine lists the fifty most generous charitable givers in the United States (and therefore, the world). The first three on the list are all self-declared atheists.

Warren Buffet has donated \$40.7 billion to charities working in "health, education and humanitarian causes".

Bill Gates donated \$27.6 billion to "global health and development and education".

George Soros has donated \$10 billion to humanitarian causes of various kinds.

The previous possessor of the record for charitable giving before these three came along was Scottish-born Andrew Carnegie, a steel industry mogul, once the second richest man in the world, who also gave billions to aid the poor and the uneducated – and who was also a self-defined non-believer.

Personally I find these polls aimed at boosting the image of religion and implicitly criticising the non-religious to be rather sad. They indicate a kind of inferiority complex among some Christians, a constant need to be reassured that they are morally superior.

I am not in any way trying to belittle the charitable efforts that religious people engage in. All help for the disadvantaged and suffering is useful, but the divisive message of this poll and others like it ("[religious people are happier](#)", "[religious people are healthier](#)" etc) does nothing to unite us in a common cause.

When there is a big appeal on TV, for instance, such as Children in Need or as when the tsunami struck South East Asia, vast amounts of money are donated by the British people. These hundreds of millions cannot all be generated by the generosity of religious believers.

Not when you take into account another, far more interesting finding from the survey (a finding which, for some reason, the BBC chose not to highlight).

When asked the question: *"Do you practise a religion? By practising we mean that you pray, read a holy book weekly or attend religious services of gatherings at least once a week."*

Only 23% said they did, but a massive 73% said that they didn't.

Given what statisticians are always [telling us](#), about people [overstating their religious observance](#) in polls, it is likely that this disinterest in practising religion is even more dramatic than the present figures indicate.

It is a sorry thing for the BBC to have produced this poll and then spun it in the way it has. It adds fuel to a religious fire that need not be burning.

## **Terry Sanderson**

Terry Sanderson was the former president of the National Secular Society. The views expressed in our blogs are those of the author and may not necessarily represent the views of the NSS.

## **While you're here**

Our news and opinion content is an important part of our campaigns work. Many articles involve a lot of research by our campaigns team. If you value this output, please consider supporting us today.

- [Support us from just £1 a month](#)

- [Share on What's App](#)
- [Share on Facebook](#)
- [Share on Twitter](#)
- [Share on Email](#)

- [Subscribe to RSS Feed](#)

Tags: [Charity](#)

## Related Campaigns

- 

### [Reform charity laws](#)

All charities, religious or not, should be held to the same standards.

[Read More](#)

## Related Articles

### [Islamic charity stokes fear of 'black magic' and promotes exorcism](#)

Islamic charity in Birmingham warns of "the sinister repercussions of magic" and the "snares of sorcerers" [Read More »](#)

### [UN raises concerns over 'gay conversion' charity after NSS briefing](#)

Charity regulator's failure to act on gay conversion therapy suggests it is "a protected religious practice" in Northern Ireland, says UN [Read More »](#)

### [Experts speak out against religious abuse](#)

Public authorities failing to tackle abuse in religious settings, panellists say at NSS event. [Read More »](#)

### [Anti-blasphemy extremism “gaining momentum” in UK, report warns](#)

Counter-extremism report recommends review of religious charities linked to anti-blasphemy activism. [Read More »](#)

## **NSS urges First Minister to review misogyny enabling charity law**

NSS says the charitable purpose 'the advancement of religion' is "significantly impeding" efforts to combat misogyny [Read More »](#)