

Publish and be damned

Posted: Sat, 8th Feb 2014 by [Abhishek Phandis](#)

The media's refusal to show the Jesus & Mo cartoon emboldens professional offense takers at the expense of inoffensive satirists –and seriously undermines the principle of free expression, argues Abhishek Phadnis.

The Danish scholar Jytte Klausen's definitive book on the Danish cartoons affair, *The Cartoons that Shook the World*, has no illustrations, and two forewords to explain why this is so. The first, by her publishers, Yale University Press, admits that "inclusion of the cartoons would complement the book's text with a convenient visual reference for the reader" (you don't say). However, it whimpers that since "republication of the cartoons ... ran a serious risk of instigating (sic) violence", it had decided against including the cartoons. The second, by Ms. Klausen, ruefully agrees. Yale University, you may recall, is the *alma mater* of that great American hero, Nathan Hale, whose courage in the face of imminent death (at 21), when caught spying for the Continental Army behind enemy lines, was immortalised in *The Ballad of Nathan Hale*:

Thou pale king of terrors, thou life's gloomy foe, Go frighten the slave; go frighten the slave;

Tell tyrants, to you their allegiance they owe. No fears for the brave; no fears for the brave.

The bureaucrats of Yale [proved unworthy](#) of that mantle, but at least they had the courage to admit they were scared. Those of us who have followed the British news media's coverage of the [Jesus & Mo](#) affair this past fortnight have been denied even that closure. To recap, a Muslim man [said](#) that he was not offended by an innocuous cartoon, printed on the t-shirts of two students who had [nearly been thrown out](#) of a public university for wearing them, and had had to fight an [almighty legal battle](#) for the right to do so. For his trouble, this man received [death-threats](#) from well-meaning members of his community, and [nearly lost his job](#) because some members of that community disagreed with him about the nature of the cartoon. Yet the British media would have you believe that absolutely none of this justifies their showing you the cartoon in question.

Leading the case for the defence was the 'senior editorial team' of Channel 4, which defended its decision to censor Mo (with what looked, for all the world, like a [large black egg](#)) [on the grounds that](#) "the showing of the entire illustration ... was not integral to the story". Given that the entire illustration *was the story*, this tells us only that the team would prefer to be known as obtuse than as invertebrate, and merits no further rebuttal.

Abhishek Phandis

The views expressed in our blogs are those of the author and may not necessarily represent the views of the NSS.

- [Share on What's App](#)
- [Share on Facebook](#)
- [Share on Twitter](#)

- [Share on Email](#)
- [Subscribe to RSS Feed](#)

Tags: [Free speech](#)

Related Campaigns

•

[Protect freedom of expression](#)

We promote free speech as a positive value.

[Read More](#)

Related Articles

[We must empower secular schools to assert their ethos](#)

When Islamists tried to bully Michaela Community School, the school refused to back down. Other schools have not been so successful in challenging religious intimidation. Megan Manson explores what made Michaela different. [Read More »](#)

[NSS announces major conference on protecting liberal values](#)

Kenan Malik, Joan Smith and more speaking at NSS Secularism 2024 conference in London. [Read More »](#)

[NSS hosts talk with author of Annie Besant book](#)

Renowned author Michael Meyer discusses 'A Dirty, Filthy Book' in online event. [Read More »](#)

[Three years on, the lessons of Batley are yet to be learned](#)

The Batley affair exposed the Islamist threat to UK schools. We're still yet to come to terms with its implications, says Jack Rivington. [Read More »](#)

Response unit needed to tackle blasphemy flashpoints, report says

Recommendations echo NSS calls for more support for schools facing religious intimidation and threats. [Read More »](#)