## IHEU defends freedom of thought for all at the UN

Posted: Wed, 12th Mar 2014

The International Humanist and Ethical Union (IHEU) has spoken out at the Human Rights Council (HRC) to defend freedom of thought for all – including the non-religious.

IHEU has expressed concern that the right to freedom of thought, conscience, religion or belief is often contracted to "religious freedom" when a speaker really means the full right to freedom of thought, conscience, religion or belief, which expressly includes non-religious views.

Addressing the UNHRC, Elizabeth O'Casey, IHEU's new head of delegation at Geneva, explained the importance of this language and urged the UN to discuss and promote this human right in its full, inclusive sense.

The statement drew on IHEU's written submission to the council, "<u>The denial of the right to free</u> thought for those with no religion", which in turn makes reference to the IHEU <u>Freedom of Thought</u> report.

IHEU (of which the National Secular Society is a member) is taking part at the United Nations Human Rights Council's 25th Session as an NGO representing humanists' broad human rights concerns with particular focus on secularism, humanist values and the rights of the non-religious.

The speech can be read in full below:

United Nations Human Rights Council, 25th Session (3rd – 28th March 2014) Interactive dialogue with the Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion or Belief Elizabeth O'Casey, Head of IHEU'S Delegation to the UNHRC

## The denial of the right to free thought for those with no religion

The right to freedom of thought, conscience, religion or belief for every human being is protected by Articles 18 of the ICCPR and UDHR.

The right to free thought is the precursor and progenitor of other fundamental freedoms. It is a complete and unlimited right, and should be protected unconditionally.

As the Special Rapporteur notes in his fine report, freedom of religion or belief applies to a broad variety of beliefs, not just those of predefined "classical" religions. Despite this, the right to free thought of those with no religion is, by many, either forgotten or knowingly violated. Currently, 19 states punish "apostasy"; some with the potential penalty of death. Other states ban atheists from holding public office, prohibit them from identifying as atheists, or force them to subscribe to religion to gain citizenship.

In his report, the Special Rapporteur talks of "religious hatred". Quite plainly Mr President, hatred against the non-religious is present globally also. We urge the Council to recognise this fact by incorporating language that expressly acknowledges hatred against non-believers in its reports or

resolutions on the issue.

We ask also that when drafting resolutions on the right to freedom of religion or belief, the Council ensures that the non-religious are one of the groups explicitly noted as having freedom to believe, and that when mentioning the persecution of religious minorities, the persecution of the non-religious is mentioned also.

The language used by the UN has fundamental significance, in its descriptive and prescriptive capacity, and in its power to influence international discourse. We urge the Special Rapporteur and Council to bear this in mind when considering the discrimination and persecution suffered by so many non-believers globally.

Source: International Humanist and Ethical Union

- Share on What's App
- Share on Facebook
- Share on Twitter
- Share on Email
- Subscribe to RSS Feed