### Majority oppose ritual slaughter of animals

Posted: Thu, 4th Apr 2013

A new Yougov poll for the *Jewish Chronicle* shows a majority back a ban on religious slaughter. 45% of respondents supported a ban, 27% were against and 28% said they didn't know.

The same poll found an almost even split among the population as to whether male circumcision on religious grounds should be outlawed, with 38% supporting a ban, 35% against and 27% undecided.

Dayan Yisroel Lichtenstein, head of the Federation Beth Din, said: "It's worrying and it shows we need to do a lot more public relations to put our case."

41% of 18-24 year-olds would ban both shechita and circumcision.

The most striking difference emerges in the political inclinations of those polled. As many as 71% of people who say they would vote UKIP back a ban on religious slaughter, and 51% support a ban on male circumcision — the only political allegiance where more than half back bans in either case.

Rabbi Jonathan Wittenberg, senior rabbi of the Masorti movement, was also not surprised by the figures because "there has been a lot of discussion acrossEurope". He said there had not been proven to be "a kinder method" to kill animals than shechita.

"The real issue is the frequent cruelty within the meat industry generally and the question of how animals live their lives, not only how they die."

The National Secular Society has long campaigned for stricter legislation to ban religious slaughter.

Stephen Evans, campaigns manager at the National Secular Society, said: "The prevailing scientific consensus is that slaughter without pre-stunning causes unnecessary and avoidable pain and distress to animals. We therefore support an end to the exemption from animal welfare legislation that permits religious communities to slaughter without prior stunning.

"For as long as such exemptions are granted, meat from religious slaughter without pre-stunning should at least be accurately labelled, which would enable consumers to make an informed choice about the food they purchase. It is disturbing that at present, this isn't the case, and consumers are being hoodwinked into subsidising the religious slaughter business, often against their will."

A consultation on new domestic legislation, The Welfare of Animals at the Time of Killing (to be brought into force later this year), closed in September 2012. Read the NSS's response to this consultation.

- Share on What's App
- Share on Facebook
- Share on Twitter
- Share on Email
- Subscribe to RSS Feed

Tags: Slaughter

#### **Related Campaigns**

•

### **End non-stun slaughter**

No more religious exemptions from animal welfare laws.

**Read More** 

#### **Related Articles**

# NSS slams government for backtracking on non-stun slaughter labels

Defra consultation on "fairer food labelling" omits slaughter, despite 97% supporting labels for slaughter method. Read More »

### Ritual slaughter: is the government about to renege on its commitment to consult?

Stephen Evans criticises the government's U-turn on animal welfare and says food labelling policy should serve consumers rather than religious interests. Read More »

### Wales Green Party drops pledge to ban non-stun slaughter

Members vote to monitor slaughter "without prejudice towards minority religious and cultural groups". Read More »

## Clear support for mandatory labels for non-stun meat, report finds

There is significant support for mandatory labels for meat from animals slaughtered without stunning, a government... Read More »

# Policy could drive up non-stun meat in public sector, NSS warns

Policy changes could lead to more meat from animals slaughtered without stunning supplied in the public sector, the NSS has warned. Read More »